years, that after all, all the things that we talked about in the subcommittee and all the hearings that we held, our unanimous recommendations and total agreement between this subcommittee and the full committee and the executive agencies involved in this particular problem, came to naught if we did not get adequate appropriations. We could have perfect unanimity, seemingly, and if we did not get any money to funds the programs, we did not get very far in terms of improvements in individual programs and in terms of better coordination of the program.

When I was chairman of the subcommittee, I followed what happened in the Appropriations Committee and on the floor of the House and Senate very carefully. However, I would confess that this year I have not done so; and I wonder if you and your associates are prepared to give us a rundown of what has happened so far to the recommendations that were made to the Congress and the actions to date of the Appropriations Committee in the House of Representatives? I do not

think the Senate has acted on this.

Mr. Bowman. I think I can do that. I may have to call upon my associates a little bit. So far as the census budget is concerned in statistics, it has been acted on by both the House and the Senate. And it was a small budget in all respects except one, and there we had this large sample survey which I said I thought was one of the best things that we had developed for a long time in the light of our present problems. It called for a survey of 3 million households. And it would have cost about \$23 million, with some funds required in fiscal year 1969.

We put it into a double package. There was a request for a supplemental to the 1967 budget of \$1 million in order to finance—to do the advance financing on this and then I think an item in the regular

1968 budget of \$20 million.

The situation is that the supplemental has been turned down by both the House and the Senate. The regular request, the 1968 budget request, has been turned down by the House but the Senate has not reported yet. And, I believe it will——

Representative Bolling. Please clarify that.

Mr. Bowman. They are meeting later this month.

Representative Bolling. You said they have not acted yet?

Mr. Bowman. On the regular budget request; no.

Representative Bolling. I see.

Mr. Bowman. And, I believe the appeal will be for approximately half that amount and a curtailed survey to about a million and a half households as contrasted with 3 million.

Representative Bolling. Before you proceed to another area, if I remember correctly what you said, this survey of 3 million households in 1968 was planned so that particular characteristics of individuals could be compared with the quinquennial census of 1970. So, in fact, if money is not appropriated there will be no reasonable comparison.

Mr. Bowman. That is right. I only stressed one element. There are a lot of other things where the survey would serve as well as that.

Representative Bolling. I understand that, but the point is that if this money is delayed now, it will be impossible to implement the program.