of being sure that the votes were there in the Subcommittee on Appropriations. In my judgment the votes are there. If the administration would push for it they would get it. But the hearings also revealed that the AFL-CIO is opposed to it. They were quite frank in their

testimony.

They said if these statistics were available they would be misused, might create an erroneous impression about the problem of unemployment. I am sorry when you point out that funds have not been provided by the Congress to make such surveys operational. But, it should be added that the administration this year did not even request them. I am very, very disturbed about this.

Mr. Bowman. Mr. Curtis, you are right. We did not request them this year because we had requested them in two previous years and they had been turned down and it has always been our policy not to include items in budgets that you do not think there is very much chance of your getting. We may have been unwise. I do not know.

Representative Curts. Yet, this was after this subcommittee specifically got into this area so that we would have a better understanding of its needs. After this subcommittee did all this work and got the unanimity, the administration withdrew the item. I suspect it was withdrawn not because the administration could not have gotten it through the Congress but because the AFL-CIO did not want it. Until I see a better response to my charge, I will have to conclude that this was the case.

In your statement you refer to standard occupational classifications for statistical purposes. Is this the same thing, that we refer to as the Dictionary of Occupational Titles? Is this a supplement—would you comment on how it relates to the Dictionary of Occupational Titles? Mr. Bowman. It is really not related to the Dictionary of Occupational Titles at all. Standard industrial classification is a—

Representative Curtis. No. This says standard occupational classifi-

cation.

Mr. Bowman. I am sorry. I thought you said standard industrial classification.

Representative Curtis. No. Let me read the full sentence:

At the present time, the Budget Bureau is undertaking a preliminary review to determine whether is should attempt to develop a standard occupational classification for statistical purposes.

Mr. Bowman. It does relate to the Dictionary of Occupational Titles but it would not be as detailed as the Dictionary of Occupational Titles and it would organize the data, we hope, so that it would be possible to cross over from one classification to another, but the statistical classification would be designed specifically for statistical uses rather than for placement or other types of uses which is the main reason for the Dictionary of Occupational Titles.

Representative Curris. Yes. Is there any attempt to carry this Dictionary of Occupational Titles over to the military establishment's use of skills which have their counterpart in the civilian sector? I think 80 percent of the skills the military needs have their counterpart

in the civilian society.

Miss Martin. The military does now use the Dictionary of Occupational Titles in classifying the military as well as the civilian people