Mr. SHRIVER. That was part of it but that was not all of it, Congressman.

Not only was a large proportion of the money earmarked for particular programs but the total amount appropriated was less than what was needed to continue the ones already in operation.

Mr. GOODELL. It was more than you had in the previous years. The earmarking created a situation which just was not taken care of by the appropriation. If there hadn't been any earmarking you would have had more total community action money available, so you would not have had to cut back.

Mr. SHRIVER. It was not the Appropriations Committee that made the earmarking.

Mr. GOODELL. I know it. I want to say that we on this side opposed that earmarking throughout the writeup last year. I believe in other places we were in agreement with OEO.

Mr. SHRIVER. Fine. I did not mean to contest that. What I meant was that it was not only the earmarking that ended up by cutting down the amount of local initiative funds. The total cut was only about 8 percent but the cut came on the local initiative fund, so that the total, although more, was less than was needed to analyze the programs the way that Congressman Reid says.

Mr. REID. Let me ask one double-barreled question in terms of some of the creative and innovative programs such as neighborhood health centers, Upward Bound, legal services, the poor, multiservice neighborhood centers, family planning clinics, etc.

Are we providing anywhere near the amount that should be appropriated for these programs or have you not put in what are merely beginning amounts of money?

Second, could you comment about the number that have been placed in jobs as a result of other aspects of the antipoverty program?

Mr. SHRIVER. The answer to the first question is yes, we are really just moving ahead very slowly on these programs. We are not anywhere, to use your phrase, near meeting the total need, let us say for legal services or health centers or whatever other items you mentioned.

Second, with respect to the specific number of jobs, I don't have that figure on the tip of my tongue but I will be happy to supply it for the record.

Mr. REID. I would appreciate it.

Thank you very much. I yield the balance of my time to Mr. Goodell.

Chairman PERKINS. The time has expired.

Mr. GOODELL. Thank you, Mr. Reid.

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. Hawkins.

Mr. HAWKINS. Mr. Chairman, may I first say that I believe Sargent Shriver has done an excellent job and that his agency is to be commended. I think we must recognize that this program does constitute a social revolution and that a majority of the members of Congress are not willing to support it financially.

I think that therefore the program will always have difficulty. During these hearings there are a few points that I at least intend to offer amendments to.

One is involvement of the poor. I still believe that the poor are not being meaningfully involved in this program. I believe this is largely the fault of administration. It is not the fault of Federal officials in