

Under the migrant heading, a part of it having to do with migrant education was transferred out by this committee and put into I believe the Office of Education as a special program over there.

In fact, the comparable figures so far as the Government as a whole is concerned would have to include both ourself plus that which is assigned by this committee to the Office of Education to carry out.

I can't remember what the figure was. It seems to me it was \$30 million that you put into the Office of Education for education of migrants.

Mr. GIBBONS. These Menominee Indians down here for eight-tenths of a million dollars, how do they get into our midst?

Mr. SHRIVER. One, because of Congressman Laird; two, in fact the Menominee Indians were on a reservation in Wisconsin. They were being dealt with as reservation Indians until about 4 years ago when they changed into becoming a county of the State of Wisconsin called Menominee County.

Menominee County is one of the poorest counties in America. It is almost wholly composed of the reservation Indians of Menominee. We undertook a program there because we could treat it almost as if it were a reservation. In fact, it had been up until recently.

In addition to that the entire congressional delegation both on the Senate side and House side from Wisconsin were interested in our doing a maximum job in that particular county because of the very low per capita income there.

Mr. GIBBONS. I will yield the rest of my time, Mr. Chairman, until we get on the Job Corps.

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. Dellenback.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman, I recognize that I come before this hearing today as a freshman. This, of course, has certain weaknesses of lack of background but at the same time it seems to me that it may also have certain advantages and certain strengths.

As we have now been spending the last hour and a half listening here together it seems to me that the purpose of what we are really trying to do here is to review the record, to search out proper attainable goals in relationship to the special problems and special needs of the poor and then decide as a matter of Government policy how best we are going to meet these problems and needs.

I hope that our purpose is not to engage in an intensified partisanship and I hope we are not going to get this on either side of this particular committee.

If these are the right goals, then this requires a thorough and careful analysis of what has been and what amendments are proposed in H.R. 8311 and what amendments are proposed in H.R. 10682.

My first question, Mr. Chairman, is not of the witness but my first question would be of you if I may, sir.

Can you outline for me as one member of this committee just exactly what our program is going to be on these bills the rest of today and the next weeks that lie ahead, if necessary?

Chairman PERKINS. The purpose is to consider the extension of the Economic Opportunities Act enacted in 1964. Certainly we will consider the amendments suggested in H.R. 8311 and all aspects.