

The hearings will be in depth to extend the present programs. They are not sacrosanct. There may be amendments to the programs, new programs, but the hearings are on the extension of the 1964 act and on H.R. 8311 and any other bill that may come before the committee.

Mr. DELLENBACK. For the sake of those on the committee, Mr. Chairman, can you outline for us how much time we are going to have? The purpose of my questioning is really this. As I look at this program and I see what is involved in it and I see the vast amount of energy and vast amount of money that has gone into this and recognize what I think is a very important program, I earnestly hope that we are going to take the time that may involve days and weeks, if necessary, to plunge in depth into this.

We have spent about an hour and a half this morning, and I recognize the difficulty of the Chair in this regard because you wanted to get on with the testimony, but we have here a battery of people who know the intricacies and details about this program.

For one I want to know what the record has been.

Chairman PERKINS. I will say to the gentleman that I have shared with the minority, Congressman Goodell and Congressman Quie, I have told those gentlemen that we would have hearings, they would be allowed a reasonable number of witnesses, all aspects of this program will be gone into.

Mr. DELLENBACK. And we will have a chance to hear the detailed testimony all the way down the line?

Chairman PERKINS. You certainly will. There is no undertaking on the part of anybody to cut off testimony without adequate hearings.

Mr. GOODELL. I want to say we appreciate very much the assurance that the gentleman from Kentucky made. We think it is absolutely imperative that we have full and complete hearings.

Chairman PERKINS. I told the gentleman last week that we would get together today and agree on a certain number of witnesses, a reasonable number. I don't want this thing to go along here until fall. But we will go along and the hearings will be in depth.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Again I am not being partisan on this. I just want to know. I think in fairness to Mr. Shriver and his people we want them to be assured that they are going to have a chance to take all the reasonable time that is necessary to tell this committee everything we ought to know about this program.

Chairman PERKINS. I am sure the gentleman and all the committee members want the members that have not sat through these hearings before to know how the Job Corps is working, the Neighborhood Youth Corps and all the other programs. I think the gentleman will be satisfied when the hearings are concluded.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Shriver, it is good to see you again. May I ask one question. I don't think this is the time to go into specifics yet.

As we talk in terms of how you analyze and characterize the one set of proposals against the other, is it your feeling that OEO should be a permanent agency of the Government?

Mr. SHRIVER. I never like the word "permanent" because frankly I hope that the time will come when there won't have to be a program of this type carried on for poor people because poor people will have