

You mentioned something about retarded Republicans. I would say it is retarded OEO members who wrote this.

Mr. SHRIVER. That is Dr. Levine's handiwork and he has his Ph. D. from the University of Iowa.

Mr. SCHERLE. If I may continue:

Anti-poverty director Sargent Shriver and his lieutenants are spending millions trying to find out what is wrong with the widely controversial program—apparently with few tangible results.

We were talking about surveys and polls. You have spent up to date \$7,788,000 to try and justify your program that apparently many men on your own staff do not quite understand themselves. It says:

Very little is known publicly about this multi-million dollar aspect of OEO—and I take this to be—

Chairman PERKINS. The time has expired.

Mr. MEEDS. I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Iowa be allowed to continue for 5 additional minutes.

Chairman PERKINS. Is there objection.

Go ahead.

Mr. SCHERLE. It says:

Congressional authorities in charge of anti-poverty legislation only recently have begun digging into this matter. They are uncovering much pay-dirt.

Now, I would like to know according to your latest available figures just what benefits have actually been derived by Congress or by the public for your expenditure of \$7,788,000 to publicize the OEO.

Mr. SHRIVER. Congressman, if you could tell us what you are reading from or what the figure is that you are talking about we could answer it better. That figure, in other words, does not sound right.

Mr. SCHERLE. That is right. I shall continue:

One ornate report obtained by members of the House Labor and Education Committee, on a \$82,522 "Analysis of Alternative Hypothetical Urban CAPs," has left them completely mystified.

It's a conglomeration of unintelligible jargon, baffling references and citations, incomprehensible tables, charts, formulas and graphs, and pages and pages of mathematical equations—which would take an Einstein to fathom.

Congratulations if he can understand it—

Of the approximate 50 pages of the report, about the size of a telephone book, more than half consist of these bewildering hieroglyphics.

Graphically illustrative of the perplexing nature of this document are the following extracts from the "General Conclusion:":

Does anybody volunteer?

Mr. SHRIVER. Is this what we paid \$7 million for?

Mr. SCHERLE. Would you like me to go on?

Mr. SHRIVER. I don't want you to go on.

Mr. SCHERLE. I don't blame you.

Mr. SHRIVER. What is it that you are reading from so we will have an idea?

Mr. SCHERLE. I will have it entered in the record in its complete form. It is: "Congress Wants to Know * * *"—what you are doing with the taxpayers' money, a real good title.

Mr. SHRIVER. What is it?

Mr. SCHERLE. By Robert S. Allen and Paul Scott.