

intent initially that after certain testimony which we would take as a full committee as we are doing today, you are going to establish a subcommittee consisting of certain named individuals.

Is that still your intention, or will those of us who will not be on that subcommittee also have a chance to inquire in depth at a later time?

Chairman PERKINS. I regret to think that the gentleman from Oregon or any other new members on the full committee would fail to attend any of these hearings. All members will have a right to interrogate to the same extent that any of the members have.

Mr. DELLENBACK. May I say for the record at this particular time that frankly as a new member I don't want any of my remarks to be interpreted as implying that the chairman has been anything less than fair on this matter of inquiry and certainly my remarks this morning were not intended to express any opinion on my part that as a general rule the chairman has not made every effort to give the new members a chance for inquiry, and I am merely seeking to be sure that as we go forward with this very important type of inquiry that all of us do have a chance to interrogate.

Chairman PERKINS. I think it is very important for all of us to realize that to accommodate all the members, both the majority and minority, the minority will on occasions have to cooperate with the Chairman and there may be occasions where we may want to stay here at night just in order to give you the opportunity.

Mr. DELLENBACK. We are particularly glad today when we see that the balance of attendance between the majority and minority side is as favorable to the minority as it is. We hope that this augurs well for the future.

Mr. GOODELL. May I ask the indulgence of the Chair and my colleagues to complete for the record a couple of points which I understand were covered by testimony on the Job Corps.

I have a number of other questions I will withhold until we get an opportunity later.

Chairman PERKINS. Go ahead.

Mr. GOODELL. It seems to me for the sake of clarification there are some points which should be made now. I regret that when some answers were given to comments I made earlier I was not here. I was back at 2 o'clock and waited for 35 minutes. I was outside talking to radio people when you started. I don't blame anybody, but I regret that I wasn't here to hear some of the comments.

First of all, let me make one thing clear. The questions that were asked this morning, as well as some of the comments that came from the witnesses, would indicate a misapprehension of what the Opportunity Crusade proposes with reference to the Job Corps.

Questions were asked. Wouldn't the vocational skill centers miss the hard core? Wouldn't this completely miss the people that need it most if we put them all in vocational skills?

Another question asked was how long would it be before you could get residential skill centers underway, suddenly switching to residential skills centers instead of Job Corps.

None of those questions is pertinent to the proposal we are making in Opportunity Crusade. We would transfer immediately the jurisdiction for the Job Corps to the vocational education office in HEW. That