

the age of 16 and 18 years old they move around a great deal. I don't remember any 90-day figure. I don't say it is wrong but I don't happen to remember it.

If a kid got a job for 90 days he may have gone on to a better job. He may have turned into a criminal but the mere fact that he changed after 90 days or after 6 months would not bother me. It was what he would be doing later that would be an important question. I would be glad to get a response for the record if we can see what clipping you are referring to.

Mr. PUCINSKI. In the Harris study I see a lot of figures, but would you call my attention to the figures that show the degree of success of the Job Corps program. Did I miss that? Perhaps I missed that testimony earlier.

Mr. SHRIVER. You must have missed the ballyhoo budget because you haven't got the figures of success. Congressman, we submitted on the Job Corps last week two large volumes which attempted to put out what we thought the current conditions were about the Job Corps and I would be glad to get you a response on that for the record. I don't happen to know which one you want.

Mr. PUCINSKI. This is what you are talking about. I am inundated by statistics and facts by the OEO.

Mr. SHRIVER. The figure that was presented here I can't recall with precise accuracy, but if I remember correctly it was 70 percent.

Mr. LEVINE. One thing is that 70 percent of the people who have been in Job Corps have either gotten jobs, gone back to school or gone into the military. Is that the point?

Mr. PUCINSKI. I don't know. I am asking.

Mr. LEVINE. That is one indication of success.

Mr. PUCINSKI. I am asking for the measure of success in the program.

Mr. LEVINE. That is an indication of success. It is not completely from the Harris survey but it is a figure put together from the Harris and the Job Corps internal data system.

Mr. PUCINSKI. If I read your figures correctly on the Job Corps, young people who attended Job Corps, 15 percent had trouble with the police before you took them into the Job Corps. Am I reading this correctly?

Mr. LEVINE. I don't have the figure in front of me.

Mr. PUCINSKI. It is page 109 in volume 3.

Mr. SHRIVER. I wonder, Mr. Chairman, whether—

Chairman PERKINS. Would you hold that question until the Job Corps people get back here tomorrow, and it will be appreciated.

Mr. PUCINSKI. You want to save all this for tomorrow?

Chairman PERKINS. Yes.

Mr. PUCINSKI. Let's do that. Looking at this chart, I am just wondering whether you people haven't become too obsessed with this whole subject. Looking at your most successful programs in the poverty program while I know that there is greater participation of the poor, I don't think there is necessarily greater planning by the poor.

For instance, in Headstart which everybody holds out as the most successful program in the whole arsenal of the War on Poverty, and