We have more attorneys in operation in the Detroit area than we have had cumulatively in the last 20 years. The program does seem to be working very well in our city.

Mr. JOHNSON. Yes, almost half of the clients that do come to legal services programs have never seen a private attorney or a Legal Aid attorney or any other attorney before in their lives.

Chairman PERKINS. Any further questions, Mr. Ford?

Mr. FORD. No.

Chairman PERKINS. Your time has expired.

Mr. Bell?

Mr. BELL. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Shriver, I want to congratulate you for a very effective defense of a rather difficult program. I wanted to start out by saying that my criticism has never been of you as an administrator. I think you are doing an excellent job. My criticism relates to the structure of OEO.

I have just two or three quick questions that perhaps have been asked before. I have not been able to attend all of the hearings.

But I notice that in some cases you have not alway placed the Job Corps people where some people have wanted them to be and I am wondering why that is.

I know in some instances you have wanted to locate a Job Corps center in a place where the public, as far as I can tell, did not welcome it. Is this situation a policy you have been following or is this something that has just happened?

Mr. SHRIVER. Well, Congressman Bell, I don't think that we have placed any Job Corps center, at least in the last year, or year and a half, or two years, in a place where the local people were opposed to having it.

There was a question that arose near your city there, one of the suburban places called Newhall, Saugus, I cannot remember. I think it was called Saugus-Newhall or Newhall-Saugus——

Mr. BURTON. I hope you can remember it.

Mr. SHRIVER. I think it was a former veterans' hospital. There was another group that didn't want one there.

Mr. BELL. My question was to clarify that. Was there a substantial number of the populace who wanted the Job Corps there?

Mr. SHRIVER. Yes, sir. Usually whenever there is an argument, there are two sides to it and there were two sides to it. Now, the issue there—that was not the principal issue on which the situation was resolved.

It was resolved on the cost factor. We have very strict budget limitations on the Job Corps and it turned out that there was an alternative location in the State of Washington where we could accommodate just as many people with a much reduced cost of rehabilitation of the facilities.

I think we saved something in the neighborhood of a half million dollars by going to an alternative location in Washington as compared to the one down there at Saugus-Newhall.

However, there was nothing wrong, really, with the Saugus-Newhall place as a place, provided we had had unlimited funds. In fact, one could say if we had more funds, we would have more centers and that would be a place that we would give consideration to.