Due to the fact that this is a non-partisan election and in compliance with CAP Memo 50-A, each staff member may, if he so desires, be involved as a private citizen according to the dictates of personal conscience.

Attached to this when it was presented to me was an advertisement

from the newspaper in Durham, N.C., addressed:

"Attention Republicans, Democrats, and independents," signed by several people identified as the president of the Republican county organization, and Young Republican Club; the President of the Republican women, which says: "This Saturday's municipal election is nonpartisan. Every registered citizen of Durham can vote regardless of party affiliation."

And inviting them to participate.

Chairman Perkins. Is there an objection—

Mr. Goodell. Reserving the right to object and I only do so to make the comment in the context here of that going in the record, probably the reason that particular memorandum was put out was that last year we documented the fact that Operation Breakthrough cars were used in an election in Durham, and the Director of Operation Breakthrough specifically in this memorandum was informing people that they could not use the cars themselves and the equipment themselves. But this memo is irrelevant to the facts concerning registration that have been alleged here which we are talking about with reference to the activities that did occur in Durham.

to the activities that did occur in Durham.

Mr. Gardner. Reserving the right to objection, may I make one com-

ment, please?

Chairman Perkins. Go ahead.

Mr. Gardner. I think it's also quite interesting that these vehicles were used the three previous weeks on the days that the citizens went down to register and these employees did it at the time, at the expense of the taxpayers.

I would like to also ask for unanimous consent to put in the entire investigation done by Mr. Buckley in Durham, N.C., in the record.

Mr. Burton. Reserving the right to object. Chairman Perkins. Is there objection?

Mr Burton. Mr. Chairman, I am going to object. If we are going to have one side, we should have all sides in the matter. I would suggest that the gentleman from North Carolina bring this up when it is disposed of by the Civil Service Commission, so it can appear with all the relevant data.

The gentleman has decried the use of taxpayers' money, some of the backward States like our own require that free copies of the registration laws and all of the registered voters be available to candidates and political parties. And we, at taxpayers' expense, pay members of either party or any party, or no party to go out and register voters, because we believe the taxpayers have a stake in seeing to it that all American citizens are registered to vote and participate in the political processes.

So our unenlightened State believes it is in its interest to spend

its tax dollars in this effort.

That is not necessarily relevant with the point made about Durham, but it is a reference to what is and is not a taxpayer responsibility. I would suspect even further that those jurisdictions that pay for the