

Mr. KLORES. I would say no, you couldn't, because the Bureau of Indian Affairs provide services such as schools. They provide services such as a police force and everything that a community provides itself.

First, you have to make the Indians self-sufficient so that they can tax themselves to provide these services.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Do you really see that as a goal out there? Do I read you correctly?

Mr. KLORES. I see that as a distant goal, yes, sir.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Where, if we stay with the \$250 million annual expenditure by the Bureau, that could be eliminated and we would make the Indians so self-sufficient that out of their own tax revenues they would take care of their schools, their police and fire, and so on?

Mr. KLORES. Yes, sir. That is what they want. They don't want to be moved, as some programs have tried to, into Chicago or Detroit or in any big city. They want to stay there and to move industry and everything there that makes that a community.

Mr. DELLENBACK. But so far you are not able to put a dollar value in the way of reduction of these other Bureau expenditures?

Mr. KLORES. No. I would say that you have to reach a point where they can start to tax themselves before you can start to move away. You can't take a police force away until they can provide a police force.

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. Pucinski.

Mr. PUCINSKI. Mr. Shriver, have you had an opportunity to look over H.R. 10682, the opportunity crusade?

Mr. SHRIVER. We have glanced at it, yes, sir. I wouldn't say that I made an exhaustive analysis of it.

Mr. PUCINSKI. Would you or any member of your staff be in a position to tell us whether or not the programs that they are carrying on now in these Indian reservations would be possible under the provisions of the proposed substitute?

Mr. SHRIVER. Mr. Berry.

Mr. BERRY. As I have read it, it does not make the provision that is presently in the act or charge the community action program with the responsibility of carrying on an Indian program.

Mr. PUCINSKI. In your own bill, H.R. 8411, at page 39, part A, the community action agency's programs in part C, you say that the community in which the community action is established and carry on a community action may be a county, city, multicounty area, and so on.

What is the difference in this proposed legislation from existing law dealing with these Indian reservations?

Mr. BERRY. No difference except that it highlighted the language and made it very clear what we have been doing as an administrative procedure.

Mr. KLORES. If I may, Congressman, that phrase "Indian reservation" was always in our community action program guideline. It was not in the legislation and the attempt is to make sure that the Congress realizes exactly what we are doing.

Mr. PUCINSKI. That is an interesting observation. It wasn't in the legislation. How did it get in the guidelines?

Mr. KLORES. The legislation stated that there would be a community action program, and we had to define what a community was in our regulation.