

Mr. STEIGER. I appreciate your response very much and the fact that the full report will go in so that we will have the benefit of it. If I understood what you said correctly, and if I did not please correct the record, your own *modus operandi* is to send it to the CAP agency and to the American Friends Service Committee for their comments so that at this time no action has been taken on the question of trying to recover any funds.

Mr. SHRIVER. That is the first step in recovering funds. That is the way you recover funds. In other words, they have the right to respond from their point of view to whatever is revealed in an audit or a general accounting office audit. In other words, they have the right to object before you so to speak to get something. That is the first step.

I might just mention in connection with it that our chief auditor, Mr. Cutler, who is here, pointed out to me that that investigation consumed the time of as many as six auditors at regional and headquarters levels for approximately 4½ months, and the estimated maximum amount that is involved may be approximately \$900.

Mr. STEIGER. Mr. Klores, I wonder in terms of the answer that you gave on unionizing activities, are there any specific safeguards which OEO writes into grant awards on union organizing activity?

Mr. KLORES. We have no standard policies for or against union organizing activity. However, every grant, every application for a grant is very carefully looked at including the work program. We are not just interested in money. We are interested in what they are going to do for the money and when we read a program and we approve it, there can be no change in that work program without our consent.

To this date we have not approved any work program that involved the organizing of migrants into a labor union.

Mr. PUCINSKI. Mr. Chairman.

Mr. STEIGER. May I, Mr. Chairman, ask unanimous consent to continue for just a few minutes. I didn't get to Wisconsin yet.

Is the United Migrant Opportunity Service of Waukesha the only agency in Wisconsin with which you fund for work with migrant workers?

Mr. KLORES. At the present time it is the only agency we have funded. That doesn't mean that if additional funds were provided we would say that everybody has to go through one agency. We at one time funded a small grant of some \$2,800 to Door County in Wisconsin to provide library services for migrant children and migrants.

Mr. STEIGER. Do you, at the present time, because of the fact you have listed a wide variety of groups involved such as State agencies, do any funding with local school districts and/or State educational agencies for the purpose of opening up schools during the summer for migrant children specifically?

Mr. KLORES. Yes, we do. As I mentioned, we fund one in New York that comes to mind right away in which we open schools. We fund programs in the State of California through Governor Reagan's office to provide education for migrant children. We fund a program through Governor Connally's office in Texas to open school districts not during the summer there because that is when the migrants leave, but to provide a special 6-month program for migrant children so that they may get in 6 months what the average child gets in 9 or 10 because they leave at the end of 6 months.

Mr. STEIGER. One of the criticisms that has been raised against the United Migrant Opportunity Service, called UMOS—