

Mr. GOODELL. Money coming out of title II, Community Action funds.

Mr. FROST. Yes.

Mr. GOODELL. I think the record indicates our basic agreement with the objective of Upward Bound. I think there is a legitimate question as to the best way to accomplish this, particularly coordinating it. You may be right on the technical language of the statute setting up Talent Search.

There is a very real question, however, whether we should have two or three programs at the Federal level operating in generally the same area.

As Mrs. Green indicated, the last 5 or 6 years on our Higher Education Subcommittee we have been very much concerned about finding the youngsters who have a potential to go further in their schooling and college or other postsecondary education.

A great many of the programs we have designed have been to find these youngsters and help them. It is not necessarily an argument for a separate program; the program objective itself is good.

Generally it would seem we would be better off with a well-coordinated program handled in one place, whether it is handled through the poverty office of OEO or through the Office of Education.

Chairman PERKINS. Before you came in, Dr. Frost made it very clear that there was perfect cooperation with the Office of Education and there was no duplication, that they were in a better position to zero in on the "C" youngster in the disadvantaged areas of the country.

The so-called Talent Search program did not in any way conflict with this program even though it had in one sense the same goals. There is no doubt in my mind that in the long run this program will be transferred to the Office of Education. But I personally think that until we get this program off the ground and continue to zero in and improve the college attendance of the disadvantaged youngster with a concerted effort and in coordination and harmony with other poverty programs they are in a better position to do the job than the Office of Education and with the perfect cooperation existing with Talent Search it would be better to let both programs continue.

Mr. GOODELL. Mr. Chairman, I have yet to witness an occasion where an administrator from a Federal agency did come up here and say they never had perfect cooperation with other Federal programs. We have seen this in every area.

Apparently in Headstart they think they have perfect cooperation in their preschool programs. Some of us know this is not actually the case when you get down to the administrative level.

I think the question is a very significant one here. If we concede that this program should eventually be a part of a coordinated program operated by the Office of Education, why should it not be now? There is an interesting myth that is constantly proclaimed in recent years, that the only place you can start a new program, the only place you can make a new approach, is in the Office of Economic Opportunity, that somehow this is a greenhouse. Little seeds grow in the Office of Economic Opportunity.

When you get the plants large enough so that they can survive, then we can transplant them to other agencies.