Mrs. Green. Isn't that equally true with any youngsters in college? Isn't there an equal investment in a kid who is academically inclined and wants to work and has a chance to graduate, whether he comes from Upward Bound or works on his own, with his efforts and will-

power without help from anybody.

I am really interested, if I understand what you are saying, that the Office of Economic Opportunity does enter in and asks for consideration for Upward Bound. We have an allocation of funds under EOG. We have an allocation under work study. Then we have the situation in which a Federal agency can call up a university and say, "We think special consideration should be given to this youngster."

If that is the way we are going to operate the program it seems to me there are some real pitfalls because some other kids maybe deserve the same kind of break. Maybe they deserve to have the same kind of person make a call for them and ask special representation

for them.

I think it should be done by the university on the basis of what it

considers good for the kids.

Mr. Frost. My guess is that the amount of money that Mr. Johnson moves around the universities for EOG's that go to Upward Bound students would be very small.

Mrs. Green. My understanding of the legislation is that we never intended to have any surplus funds for anybody to move around at

his discretion. But I will look at the legislation again.

Mr. Frost. I would have to look at it again. My understanding is that if the University of Illinois does not use \$100,000 of EOG it can be moved around.

Chairman Perkins. The usual provision of reallocation. Mr. Shriver. Could I make a comment, Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Perkins. Yes.

Mr. Shriver. I would like to say from my point of view I agree with Mrs. Green. I do not think that a special office like Upward Bound or officials down in Washington or someplace else should be

making a special plea on behalf of a special group.

I think the college should make the grants as Mrs. Green said. I do think however with respect to the kids in this income group today it appears from the statistics that Dr. Frost has that about 8 percent of such kids go to college now. Upward Bound is apparently increasing the total number of such kids at that income level by a guantum jump. It seems to me therefore that it might be advisable for this committee to consider whether or not there should not be more money available through this committee to Mr. Johnson over there for this kind of kid whether he has been in Upward Bound or not.

I am not trying to get a special break, I think you are right, there should not be a special category. But when you have a big quantum jump apparently about to come into being, then maybe a larger amount of money is needed in Mr. Johnson's office to take care of this

large number of poor kids who are competing for money.

But I agree, I want to make it very clear at least I agree, that Upward Bound kids as compared to other poor kids should not get a special break.

Mr. Goodell. Who is the applicant for this money?