

So the emphasis you are talking about I think we agree with completely.

May I add, in answer to the chairman's question, that the budget which has already been presented, identifies with respect to these various programs sums for that. The sum, as far as the New Careers Program is concerned, is again the same—or is it not on a line base? It is \$98 million divided between the New Careers Program and what we call the Operation Mainstream Program.

It is an addition to \$135 million on this concentrated employment program. So we have that thought, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GOODELL. I think it is important, Mr. Secretary, to clarify that what you are doing now or what you have authority to do now with the New Careers program, is not the same as what we are talking about. You are talking about a new careers program which is a program to help get people into social service types of occupations.

The only similarity between the two things we are talking about is that we want meaningful, productive jobs for the long run. You can of course, find many of those in the social service field as Mr. Scheuer proposed.

I am talking about meaningful, productive jobs in the private enterprise field. We don't do that today. We do not subsidize wages in this area to move youngsters in that direction. We can and should open the door to private enterprise efforts in this area.

Mr. Dent and I worked with others on the minimum wage law which goes to \$1.60 an hour on February 1. This is going to be an increasing problem. I favor the minimum wage law. I think workmen should have a wage on which they can live. We have made our estimates and the Industry Youth Corps Program we offer would provide an opportunity for 93,500 youngsters in private employment.

We divert some of the money now used for your Neighborhood Youth Corps into this Industry Youth Corps. But putting \$70 million into this program you would get employers to contribute three times that much because we are only paying one-quarter of the wage of the individual.

The employer pays three-quarters. So that the \$70 million investment ends up with four times that amount going to wages paid these youngsters and gives them on-the-job training.

Now, this is the proposal that we made last year and that we are again making now. It seems to me with the urgency of the problem that you described, with which I agree, we should not be hearing from you some talk about two or three experimental programs under MDTA. We don't really have adequate authority to move full scale. We should be moving right now in a major way in this area.

Mr. MEEDS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GOODELL. I would like the Secretary to answer. I don't want to get into a debate.

Secretary WIRTZ. It is what you are proposing. It is what we are doing. The fact that you have described it differently does not change that fact one bit.

Mr. GOODELL. Let us not fuzz the record. You mentioned three experimental programs you are doing with MDTA along these lines. I asked the question how many you had in your other program in