

The Government's response is, "Let's keep down riots and violence," rather than, "Let's eliminate the causes of riots and violence."

It is a hell of a way to run a public program. It is unhealthy. What it does is inform people that the way to get some money is to have a riot or threaten one.

I notice in a story out of Los Angeles, Urban Matsye, of the County Federation of Labor, on the intercounty agency program there, criticized the summer crash program on the whole on the basis that many people will be trained for work in connection with the already high unemployment level.

Then there is a quote attributed to him:

I think the whole thing is going to be a failure. All we are doing is spending \$8 million to put poor people in competition with other people already out of jobs.

Dr. Frank Reisman of NYC, professor of educational psychology and coauthor of "New Careers for the Poor," warns of a poverty war switch in emphasis. He says:

It creates an enormous danger that we will have just another make-work, antiriot, keep-summer-cool program.

Given these, and I have other quotes here from people who not only are outstanding authorities and not only are very sympathetic to the whole poverty program, but who are in the frontlines of the war on poverty—I am concerned.

I understand from your initial statements your basic philosophy. You do not approve of programs that are simply antiriot programs. You made that very clear in your initial statement.

And I think we are in complete agreement that what must be done is attack the causes, and not just try to respond to the symptoms and maybe cool it off through the summer.

As a matter of fact, you can be quoted, and I like this quote very much. I quote you:

The summer patriots in the war against poverty turn away from the inevitable winter campaign in any war that is worth fighting.

I hope that is an accurate quote. It is attributed to you in this paper.

My concern is that we hear these comments from very well informed, sympathetic people at this point, who say it is a crash program thrown together without very much planning, and is going to fail, and attributing to it the character of being more antiriot and panicky than well constructed and aimed at the causes.

Chairman PERKINS. Will the gentleman yield?

I think the gentleman's question is too general. Why don't you narrow it to something specific?

Mr. GOODELL. Mr. Chairman, these are some very specific comments that I have quoted. I will quote them again, if you like. I would like to have his defense on this.

Chairman PERKINS. The program is what I think these should be directed to.

Mr. GOODELL. I am sorry, Mr. Chairman, but if you don't think it is a proper question to ask Mr. Wirtz to respond to a charge that we have a crazy, illogical, emotional setup on summer programs, and that it is a hell of a way to run a public program, then there is something wrong.