

I have the feeling we are about at the right pace.

Mr. GOODELL. I am not trying to pin you to specific amounts, but I take it that it is a fair statement that you are not among those who think that we should double the amount of money, from \$2 to \$4 billion, or something like that, in the war on poverty.

Secretary WIRTZ. No, sir; I am not.

Mr. GOODELL. You also were quoted:

If I were to make a choice, I would rather have 50 to 100 more administrators, competent administrators, in the War on Poverty than another \$100 million for it.

Is that an accurate quote?

Secretary WIRTZ. It is still accurate.

Mr. GOODELL. I take it this refers to the latter part of your comment, on the difficulties of administration and the need to work out better ways of doing what we all want to accomplish.

Secretary WIRTZ. Difficulties, yes, in the sense that we are trying, Mr. Goodell, a great many things. Some of them work, and some of them don't, and there is a certain amount of that that is inevitable.

There just is a point of usefulness, a ratio of usefulness, and I think we have just about reached it.

There is no question in anybody's mind but that we could usefully spend more money on the Neighborhood Youth Corps, because that one has worked out well, and yet you raised this morning some aspects of that program with respect to which we still think there is more reason to investigate.

The whole area of supportive services needs more experimentation.

I don't mean to embroider the answer. That is my judgment of the comparative values at this point in connection with the antipoverty program and the whole manpower program.

Mr. GOODELL. I would be interested in how many programs you have underway now, and what your plans are to evaluate the results of the Neighborhood Youth Corps in a qualitative sense, and perhaps a longer term sense.

Secretary WIRTZ. Do you want Mr. Howard's answer on that?

Mr. HOWARD. I outlined earlier a list of the various evaluation studies and contracts that we have undertaken. I will submit our proposed fiscal year 1968 evaluation research contracts.

(The information referred to follows:)

PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 1968 EVALUATION RESEARCH CONTRACTS—SUBJECT TO APPROVAL

1. Proposed Study: Follow-up Survey of NYC Out-of-School Terminees.

Objectives of Study: To determine the effect of program completion on successful adjustment to post-enrollment experiences; to determine the relationship between NYC service and post-enrollment experiences; and to develop guidelines and recommendations regarding the length of service eligibility requirements on NYC enrollees.

Cost: \$260,000.

2. Proposed Study: Follow-up Survey of Nelson Project Terminees.

Objectives of Study: Determine the post-enrollment experience of a representative sampling of 1,000 Nelson terminees; critically analyze the capability of Nelson programs to improve the employability of older unemployed workers; and develop guidelines for improving job development and placement components of Nelson projects.

Cost: \$130,000.

3. Proposed Study: Follow-up Survey of Special Impact (CEP) Terminees.