

hood Youth Corps can give them an assist that is very meaningful, but if they don't have it, the Neighborhood Youth Corps does not exhibit any noticeable capacity to create it.

I think it is this kind of a study whereby we see what kind of a function the Neighborhood Youth Corps has, and what adjuncts we may need.

Mr. HOWARD. Certainly we share with you the concern that the program deal not only with those who have emergent capabilities, but those who don't display them, and hence more work has to be done with them.

One of the factors which will assist the Neighborhood Youth Corps in doing a better job is the kind of measurements that was provided last year, and which is already going into effect: more supportive services, greater exposure to work and training and counseling and remedial education, and the opportunities to develop, on a carefully selected basis, the private sector exposure.

We have already seen in our program a greater participation rate. Our movement out of the program on the part of the out-of-school projects is slowing down. The youngsters are staying in longer. Hence they are exposed more to the kind of supporting services. As the program matures, I believe that its capability and its impact on the youth whom you describe will grow.

But certainly I think it is quite fair to say that a program that is not fully developed will not give as much help as is needed by a youth who is really in trouble.

I would point out, too, the fact, as cited by the Secretary, of this Tampa situation where the youths themselves provided a sense of order in the city. The fact that NYC, both former and present enrollees formed more than half of that group, I think makes some comment on the kinds of attitudes and the kinds of activities that can result in NYC exposure.

Mr. GOODELL. I have another question.

We are having a little difficulty with this quorum call, for which we all apologize.

But a question in line with what you have just indicated. Last year in the hearings it was indicated that the out-of-school Neighborhood Youth Corps program was providing training for 10 percent of the enrollees.

Do you have a figure as to that for this past year, and what it is at the moment?

Mr. HOWARD. I believe we were talking about remedial education.

Mr. GOODELL. Last year in the report that was given it was stated that only 10 percent received any remedial education or training.

Mr. HOWARD. By December of 1966, 50 percent of our out-of-school projects were providing remedial education.

The last figure we had was December.

Mr. GOODELL. Fifty percent of the projects—I don't know how many of the enrollees.

Mr. HOWARD. In the out-of-school projects, 50 percent of the projects were providing remedial training and education, and 30 percent of all out-of-school enrollees were receiving remedial education.

This compares to the 9.5 in January, which was part of the 10 percent that you were referring to. So that we have a tripling.