Mr. Quie. All of part B will not be dealt with by the Department of Labor? There will be some programs that will be operated under part B of title I which will not be delegated.

Secretary Wirtz. I will check that out. The only thing that I can think of at the moment would be the foster grandparents program.

Mr. Quie. That is the only one I can think of. Secretary Wirtz. That is all. We have talked about that. The OEO people's logic is that it should come to the Department of Labor but in any sense of reality it is pretty clear it is not a good place for it.

Mr. Quie. Because of the people they are working with.

Secretary Wirtz. Sure.

Mr. Quie. What is the relationship and what will be the relationship between OEO and the Department of Labor in administering these programs. How do they look over your shoulder? What kind of conferences do you have? Why do you need them?

Secretary Wirtz. I was thinking of that in connection with Mr. Goodell's question awhile ago about supportive services. That is a good illustration, supportive services in connection with the OEO. OEO has pressed us in the last few years to add more supportive

services to the Neighborhood Youth Corps program.

I think that has been all to the good. I think that kind of emphasis is illustrative of what we need. Now, the answer to your question "Is this in operating effect," there are effective today delegation of authority and assignment of responsibility from OEO to the Department of Labor to administer these programs. For the delegation of the special impact, Nelson, Scheuer programs, Mr. Ruttenberg, Mr. Howard, Mr. Hardy, and others from the Office of Economic Opportunity sat down and worked through with sometimes painful detail what ought to be regulations, and they govern, among other things, the delegation.

That was a fairly arduous process. You would not want to go through it very often. They would not have to under this arrangement. But in answer to your question it does contemplate establishment of rules and regulations. It does contemplate continued pressure in connection with something like the need for increased supportive services. They may feel, from the standpoint of somebody whose whole job is to take poor people and pull them up permanently, that our program may be going too fast or too slow. I think it is a good

Mr. Quie. If this is a good function then would it be wise to add this component to other manpower programs as you have in order that the outside force of people would also be made to bear on them?

Secretary Wirtz. That is a very good question, if I may say so, and one that puts me very much to the test of the philosophy I am

I would mention two things in connection with it and neither of them will be completely illogical because your question in this context presents exactly that possibility. The two points I mention are (1), title VI, part B. In supporting title VI, part B, I recognize that it presents exactly the possibility that you are raising with respect to the programs under the Manpower Development and Training Act.

I do go along with it, in fact, because I think there is enough justification in an affirmative answer to your question to warrant the kind

of coordination required by title VI, part B.