Mr. Quie. In H.R. 5710, section 410, the community work and training programs by the Secretary of Labor: Does this take any responsibility away from the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare that he presently has, or is this only additional authority?

Mr. Carter. Well, it is a little bit of both.

Community work and training as it presently stands is a program administered entirely by welfare departments. It does not contain, in present law, without amendment, many of the kinds of support for activities that we pay for in title V, say, in the work and training

What this act would be, would be to provide that the Secretary of Labor should have the primary responsibility for providing all of the things that are presently done under community work and training as far as work experience and the like, plus those additional things that we are building in from title V in this general field.

Primary responsibility: If he does not exercise that responsibility, then this may be done directly by the welfare agencies in the State.

Mr. Quie. It was my understanding earlier that the new language in the Social Security Act would give the responsibility to the Secretary of HEW so that he could delegate a portion of that responsibility to the Secretary of Labor, which fits into the work training aspect.

But as I read it, it also gives the responsibility directly to the Sec-

retary of Labor, under section 410.

Mr. Carter. The authority is given initially to the Secretary of Labor, although the appropriation is made to the Secretary of HEW. Mr. Quie. All the appropriations for the operation of section 410

would have to come through HEW.

Mr. Carter. Yes.

Chairman Perkins. Section 204 makes it very clear that States that do not take advantage of the AFDCUP would have no assistance whatsoever for the 30 percent of the people who are now on the work experience and training program in that hard core group, and those States that do would have to at least put up one-third of the funding

And I personally feel that the committee somewhere along the line will make a correction and not relinquish control over title V. We can put something in this bill that will be of benefit to the 30 percent that are going to be ignored under section 204 of 5710, in the States that have not and may not take advantage of the AFDCUP programs on or before 1967, where 5710 proposes to phase out title V.

Would you or Dr. Carter care to offer this committee some language along that line that could give some assistance to the people in these States that fail to take advantage of the AFDCUP, so that the 30 percent that are presently at work in the experience and training program—if we can put something about that group of people, and if you could see the people coming up with some new language for this

Mr. Carter. I can only say that obviously we are supportive of the administration's position. We are certainly always glad to provide what technical assistance we can to the committee. And I am sure we would be glad to work with the committee in carrying out its wishes.

But it is difficult for me to offer language to the committee with

respect to any amendment.

Chairman Perkins. This called-for language is for the committee.