

Paterson, again as you may know, is a low-wage area, many sweat-shop-type enterprises—

Chairman PERKINS. I agree with your philosophies that the problem is much larger and greater than is being undertaken by the OEO but considering the budget limitations in times like these today, would you then recommend dismantling OEO?

Mr. MARSHALL. What I am recommending is a kind of tooling up program. I believe there is going to be and there have to be after this war is over and the Congress can begin to appropriate the kinds of money that should be going into model cities and other types of programs, a massive redevelopment of the ghetto areas.

I believe there should be a very key role played in this development by residents of these ghettoized communities which would then indicate that certain efforts should now begin with moneys presently available which would begin to equip these people to participate more effectively in the redevelopment and rebuilding of their communities.

I submit to you, sir, that these are not mainly efforts in the social welfare area although certainly I am saying there is a need for social welfare services but more in the economic development area that I have tried to sketch out here today and that probably is best illustrated by the recently funded program in the Bedford-Stuyvesant district.

Chairman PERKINS. You are simply stating we need a massive attack on the roots of the poverty from the standpoint of an adequate housing program in the slums and in the rural areas of the country, a massive education attack to provide good educational opportunities and better teaching personnel and a massive community facilities attack, better community facilities of all types, water and sanitation, expansion of community recreation.

That is the program that you are talking about and you are wondering whether the Office of Economic Opportunity is planning with other governmental agencies in that direction so that if world conditions improve we could make that massive attack promptly.

Do I understand your statement to be along those lines?

Mr. MARSHALL. That is correct, and I would only add that I see certain opportunities to begin if some of these limited funds are made available, but some of them are not being as wisely spent as they could be.

The money that goes into the Job Corps program, I think, could be more effectively spent to provide, incidentally, the same kind of training opportunities for young people.

I recognize there is a limited amount of money and we know the job is much bigger than the presently available funds can do but there is certainly the opportunity to use what is now available in ways that can begin this massive job, and this was the point I was making.

Mr. GOODELL. I appreciate your excellent statement and let me state for the record it was a very stimulating and provocative experience participating on a panel with you in a discussion of the new generation.

I should give credit on this public record that the Council of Economic Opportunity Advisers as we call it, derived from a suggestion made by you in that panel discussion. You pointed out the need for