

I would say it should be sent off to the relevant Federal agency to run from that point on because actually what you are doing, you are dealing with two different types of people in the same agencies—the kind of bureaucrats needed to administer large institutionalized programs are not usually the people you need to create and prod and develop new things.

You are really talking about two different kinds of people.

Mr. GOODELL. Some of us have the feeling that we can infuse an innovative spirit through the involvement in the poverty program into some of these other agencies. How do you feel about that and do you think it is a worthy objective?

Mr. MARSHALL. Yes, but I would say, Mr. Goodell, that top level council we are talking about would be of key importance here because the natural tendency would be toward inertia and business as usual and there would have to be this high level prodding constantly going on to assure innovative and creative thinking.

Mr. GOODELL. In our proposal for Headstart, we transfer it not only to the school system but we transfer it to be administered by local community action boards. The idea there is to keep the involvement, people to be served and the community action board, itself, would in effect control the distribution of Headstart funds.

Does this sound like a workable and acceptable way of doing it?

Mr. MARSHALL. That would seem to me to be a very important way of doing it.

Mr. GOODELL. Perhaps you might have clarified this for the record when I stepped out, but so there wouldn't be any misrepresentation of your position, you would see no harm in effect of transferring all of the programmatic programs of OEO to the existing agencies, but you are not testifying in opposition to what OEO has done in the past and you are not trying to kill it but in effect you are saying if they can take on these new challenges, fine, keep OEO, but you wouldn't do any harm to the existing programs if you transferred them to another agency?

Mr. MARSHALL. I would say that that section is the key type of thing that should be expanded and the Headstart and the Job Corps and all that at this point it seems to me could go to traditional agencies.

Mr. GOODELL. You would feel that a substantial part of this would be performed by a Council of Economic Opportunity Advisers? I think the Council of Economic Advisers now operates with about a \$750,000 budget, three people at a very high salary, professional people, the right to contract for research, evaluation studies, the power to hire people themselves, the budget to do this and they have a functioning office whose sole responsibility would be to develop data, the coordinating of the program, the charting of the basic course that the Federal Government should take with reference to the elimination of poverty.

That is the concept that you have in mind, as I understand it, and that I have in mind; is that correct?

Mr. MARSHALL. Yes; although it seems to me it would cost more than that amount.

Mr. GOODELL. The amount we put in was \$500,000 to get it started and that is flexible, and that would be the staff and the rest of it, but in