

I think you have made an excellent self-limitation, Mr. Rockefeller, when you have said several times that you don't mean to be straying beyond your own experience and knowledge in the comments you make against this background. I am sure that experience and knowledge are very material and very substantial, but you are pinning them down to that particular area and the experiences that you have had there. Am I correct in that?

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. As much as I am able to; yes, sir.

Mr. DELLENBACK. I read you really as favoring certain concepts that you see come alive through your own experience rather than as favoring certain administrative bodies. For example, I heard you say that you favor active participation in the program planning and implementation by the poor. Is that correct?

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Yes. I am saying that again it is a question to what limit you want to put that.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Yes. I am not talking about degree. I am not talking about form. What I am saying is that it is this policy, this concept of participation of which you speak strongly in favor.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Yes.

Mr. DELLENBACK. It is the concept or policy of involvement of private industry. I am not saying the form it ought to take or degree, but it is this concept you have seen come alive and you think this is an excellent concept. Am I correct?

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Yes, sir.

Mr. DELLENBACK. But you have limited yourself. For example, you haven't read 10682 versus 8311, the two bills that we are studying formally. You are not balancing one bill off against the other?

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. I am doing my very best not to comment on the structure and form.

Mr. DELLENBACK. You are not talking about saying that it is necessary to have OEO to make the program come alive? You are talking of concepts and ideas that you have seen produce results and you are staying clear of endorsing OEO as it has been, or endorsing any structure?

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. What I am saying is that I am doing my best to stay out of the particular issue that you are trying to decide, that is, which is the better form? What I am saying is that I am reporting from my point of view, which has not been structurally oriented about what I see. I am not saying what you say there.

Mr. DELLENBACK. This is exactly what I want to have clear, because I don't seek to lead you into favoring one form of organization against another, one bill against another. But I was afraid that some of the questions that Mr. Perkins had asked earlier were susceptible to the interpretation that you were saying you favor OEO as it exists, that you favor certain structures as they existed, that you favor Job Corps in its present form.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. I very much do favor the continuation of the Job Corps. I have said that and I would say that constantly.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Let me push this a little bit further. Are you favoring the Job Corps as an administrative group, as a structure, or are you favoring the concept of taking young people who badly need training, who badly need the bringing out of their economic capacities, the bringing out of their social capacities, and giving them the sort of training and experience they need? Which of these do you favor?