

This would be a difference in the coordination. There would be a difference in the Federal agency or agencies involved and I was striving initially to keep our reference to the local agencies.

Mr. MALEY. My point is that the difference at the Federal level I think would have a direct bearing on our ability to be able to coordinate at the local level.

That is my point.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Will you please amplify that because I think this would be an important thing and I would be interested in your opinion on pinning it down for me.

Mr. MALEY. Congress established the OEO as an agency to develop and coordinate programs. That is its purpose. Within that framework, I think we have been able to do a fairly good job of coordination at the local level.

If you do away with the agency that was devised to fulfill a coordinating role, you weaken the ability to coordinate at the local level.

Why would Labor be anxious to let their folks, regardless of what our relationship is—so far as we are concerned we are HEW people—coordinate their programs any more than HEW folks would like to have Labor people coordinating their programs.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Under H.R. 10682 which programs are you of the opinion would be under Labor which are not already tied to Labor? Since you have read this, Mr. Maley, tell me which of the programs that are now under community action would suddenly become part of the Department of Labor?

Mrs. OLIVAREZ. May I just inject there are some programs which have been spun off to the Department of Labor but the coordination is still being done by the community action agency at the local level.

They have not been spun off by another statute.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Tell us which programs under H.R. 10682 you think would go over to the Department of Labor.

Mr. MALEY. Primarily the same ones that have now been delegated to the Department of Labor by OEO.

Mr. DELLENBACK. That consists of what?

Mrs. OLIVAREZ. The work programs.

Mr. DELLENBACK. Out of school, NYC?

Mr. OLIVAREZ. Out of school, in school, new careers.

Mr. DELLENBACK. You see here again there is a misconception—and if you look at the programs for out of school Neighborhood Youth Corps, I think you will find that you are still talking about a single agency. There are all sorts of misconceptions that are involved in what people say or think about H.R. 10682.

I don't seek to gloss over anything that is there but I think it is important that you not set up strawmen and attempt to knock them down.

The out-of-school Neighborhood Youth Corps program would go to the Department of Labor under provisions of H.R. 10682. This is essential a work training program. We feel this soundly is a program that the Labor Department can best handle.

It is a training for job on the job, out of school.

The rural loan program which is at present being administered by the Department of Agriculture would be part of the province of the Department of Agriculture.