

Mr. ASHBROOK. I will add them up.

Mr. HANSAN. With the chairman's permission, I think I can save Mr. Ashbrook some time. We have had slightly over \$15 million come to the Cincinnati area, that includes more than Cincinnati. The Cincinnati area, since the legislation was initially funded in October or November 1964, our present spending rate, Mr. Ford, on a year's program under title II is approximately \$4 million. Under title I, the Neighborhood Youth Corps, it is a little better than two and a half million dollars. If you throw in title V, a proportion for VISTA, and a proportion for basic literacy, and so on, it comes up a little higher. In round figures we say we benefit from this legislation by approximately \$7 million, my office, the Community Action Commission is only responsible for about \$4 million of that.

Mr. O'HARA. Mr. Chairman, I want to commend my soul brother, Mr. Ashbrook, for his movement, in what I think is the right direction. A couple of years ago when we took up the Civil Rights Act of 1964 he did not feel he could support it and now today he is apparently trying to extend it. Certainly, I point out to the gentleman from Ohio, nothing in the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits the urging, advocating, or whatever, in support of hiring of Negroes, or Caucasians, or Swedes. It has nothing to do with urging. The only provision—

Mr. ASHBROOK. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. O'HARA. Yes, sir.

Mr. ASHBROOK. I think the urging of the consumer boycott action against the Kroger Store, would be breaking the law. If they hired them just because they were Negroes, they would be breaking the law.

Mr. O'HARA. If they hired Negroes only for that reason, the act would be violated but for a group to merely urge the employment of more Negroes is not a violation of the civil rights law.

Mr. ASHBROOK. But certainly their actions are questionable tactics. I think the gentleman from Michigan would agree to this conclusion.

Mr. O'HARA. I think it is a questionable tactic and I would like to see the gentleman from Ohio join me on my bill which would prevent boycotting of stores by farmworkers by putting them under the National Labor Relations Act.

Mr. ASHBROOK. I will endorse that.

Mr. O'HARA. I was also interested in the comment with respect to use of OEO funds to defend persons connected with charges arising from the recent disturbances in Cincinnati. I gather OEO funds are used to pay the personnel of the legal service agency and also used to pay part of the expenses of the public defender of Hamilton County, is that correct?

Mr. HANSAN. Yes, that is correct.

Mr. O'HARA. I know my friend from Ohio is not suggesting that the public defender's services should not be available to people who otherwise qualify for them.

Mr. HANSAN. I might add on this legal services program, it has been a service of the Office of Economic Opportunity to every city and State in the Nation that more and more people are availing themselves of this help.