

3114 ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1967

We feel that it is essential to continue to support Upward Bound and the improvements it is bringing about in dealing with the problem of reclaiming talents that would otherwise be lost. A change at this time would, we believe, imperil the substantial progress which is being made in helping disadvantaged youngsters develop their talents to the full reach of their potential.

Sincerely,

JOHN W. GARDNER, *Secretary.*

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE,
Washington, D.C., August 10, 1967.

HON. CARL D. PERKINS,
House of Representatives,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. PERKINS: At the time I appeared before the House Education and Labor Committee on June 23, 1967 in connection with the authorization hearings for the Economic Opportunity Act, requests were made for the following:

(1) a breakdown by sex for Title V trainees who left projects for reasons other than employment, entered advanced training and completed assignment. This information is contained in the enclosed table providing data for the period December 1964 through April 1967.

(2) an interpretation of Section 503(b) of the Economic Opportunity Act regarding the 36-month limitation on an individual's participation in a Title V project. Enclosed is a copy of a memorandum dated July 11, 1967 from Mr. Joseph H. Meyers, Acting Commissioner of Welfare, which incorporates the construction of this section by the Office of General Counsel of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

(3) a "rebuttal" of the Community Associates, Inc. "Case Study of Leslie, Knott, Letcher, Perry (LKLP) Community Action Council, Eastern Kentucky prepared for U.S. Senate Committee on Employment, Manpower and Poverty." Enclosed are comments, as requested, from the viewpoint of the Title V, Work Experience and Training Program.

(4) comments on the staff paper entitled "Work Experience and Training" prepared by Dr. Sar Levitan for the Sub-Committee on Employment, Manpower and Poverty of the Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare. Enclosed is a general statement regarding Dr. Levitan's paper and specific comments on a number of items in the paper.

Sincerely yours,

LISLE C. CARTER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Individual and Family Services.

Enclosures.

*Work experience and training program—Other reasons for termination by sex,
December 1964 to April 1967*

Reasons for termination	Total		Male		Female	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	47,700	100.0	20,964	44.0	26,736	56.0
Good cause	17,363	36.4	5,900	12.4	11,463	24.0
Disability and medically unqualified ..	10,017	21.0	4,247	8.9	5,770	12.1
Child care	3,721	7.8	324	.7	3,397	7.1
Ineligible	1,908	4.0	830	1.7	1,078	2.3
Hours of work	190	0.4	38	.1	152	.3
Transportation problems	1,092	2.1	240	.5	762	1.6
Educational limitations	525	1.1	221	.5	304	.6
Not good cause	15,407	32.3	8,259	17.3	7,148	15.0
Poor attendance	8,825	18.5	5,224	11.0	3,601	7.5
Dissatisfied with assignment	3,339	7.0	1,396	2.9	1,943	4.1
Lack of progress	1,955	4.1	702	1.5	1,253	2.6
Misconduct	906	1.9	634	1.3	272	.6
Refused assignment	382	0.8	303	.6	79	.2
Projects terminated	2,814	5.9	1,038	2.2	1,776	3.7
Other (reasons not specified)	12,116	25.4	5,767	12.1	6,349	13.3