most consistent and worst unemployment of any section of the country.

This not only includes my district in this number but it includes Tim Lee Carter's district. So I just point out that this is not a partisan matter. This legislation benefits his district as much as mine and it has just as much poverty in Carter County.

I am delighted that you could come here today and I thought I should point out the way you took advantage of title V in the first year because of that billion dollars that was made available before we ever enacted a program up here. You perhaps were in a better position than any other commissioner in the Nation to know the good work and take advantage of it. It was a great pleasure for me to welcome you here today and we will certainly have you back some time in the future.

Mr. DAWSON. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It was an honor to be here.

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. James C. Dean, in connection with his master of science thesis, did an extensive investigation of participants in the work experience and training program, title V of the Economic Opportunity Act. The thesis was specifically directed to the subject "The Developmental Significance of Expenditures of Participants in the Work Experience and Training Program."

I personally feel that this is a vital work and contains much data that will be useful to the committee in the consideration of training programs; and without objection Mr. Dean's letter to me, dated June 15, 1967, and the report of his investigation will be made part of the record at this point.

(The letter and document follow:)

LEXINGTON, KY.,
June 15, 1967.

HON. CARL PERKINS,
Rayburn Building,
Washington, D.C.

MY DEAR MR. PERKINS: Please find enclosed a copy of my study, "The Developmental Significance of Expenditures of Participants in the Work Experience and Training Program."

I have spent the past year doing this study as a part of my Master's Degree program at the University of Kentucky. I believe you may be interested in this study since it was conducted in Clay, Owsley, and Magoffin Counties, Kentucky, and further, since it deals with the expenditures of participants in the Work Experience and Training Program, Title V of the Economic Opportunity Act, which falls within the jurisdiction of the House Committee on Education and Labor.

I submit this copy of my study for whatever consideration your committee may give it.

Sincerely,

JAMES C. DEAN.

TABLE I.1.—*19 eastern Kentucky counties in the W.E. & T. program—Their quotas, and number of participants, May 20, 1966*

County	Quota	Participants	County	Quota	Participants
Bell	540	528	Jackson	145	149
Breathitt	500	507	Knox	435	430
Floyd	540	547	Magoffin	190	189
Harlan	495	465	Martin	215	218
Knott	495	494	Menifee	75	67
Leslie	335	360	Morgan	180	184
Letcher	365	360	Owsley	150	150
Perry	635	636	Wolfe	180	185
Pike	460	461			
Clay	330	321	Total	6,365	6,348
Elliott	100	97			

NOTE.—The 1st 9 counties entered the program in January 1965, the last 10 in June 1965.