

TABLE I.2.—*Basic monthly income requirements for participants in work experience and training*

Type of requirements by age and shelter requirements	Number of persons in family				
	2	3	4 or 5	6 or 7	8 or more
Personal requirements:					
Adult.....	\$37	\$36	\$35	\$33	\$31
Child of age:					
12 to 17.....	40	39	38	35	32
9 to 11.....	34	33	32	29	26
6 to 8.....	29	28	27	24	22
3 to 5.....	22	21	20	19	17
Under 3.....	18	17	17	15	14
Shelter requirements: ¹					
Rent or mortgage payments:					
Rural unfurnished.....	19	15	13	13	13
Rural furnished.....	21	17	15	15	15
Urban unfurnished.....	25	20	17	17	17
Urban furnished.....	29	22	19	19	19
No rent or mortgage payments:					
Rural.....	12	9	8	8	8
Urban.....	14	10	9	9	9

¹ The allowance for shelter is obtained by multiplying the appropriate amount in the table by the number of members of the assistance group up to a maximum of 4 (if 4 or more members, multiply by 4).

Source: Material supplied by Mr. Ralph Wells.

THE DEVELOPMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OF EXPENDITURES OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE WORK EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING PROGRAM

(A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Agriculture at the University of Kentucky)

(By James C. Dean)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author of this thesis wishes to acknowledge and to express his gratitude to the numerous people who contributed to this project, particularly to Professor Aubrey J. Brown, Chairman, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Kentucky, for his continued encouragement, enthusiasm, and financial support.

Appreciation for careful criticism and valuable comments is due to many professors in the Department of Agricultural Economics, especially Professors Robert W. Rudd, K. Ramon Anschel and D. Milton Shuffett.

The author is most grateful to the many people who cooperated in securing the data for this undertaking. The Department of Economic Security, Commonwealth of Kentucky, was most helpful, especially Mr. Ralph E. Wells, Supervisor of the Work Experience and Training Program; Mr. Ernest Rall, Director of Public Assistance; and Mr. Roy Butler, Research Statistician.

The Computing Center of the University of Kentucky was most generous with their valuable advice and facilities in the preparation of the regression calculations of this study.

The author is grateful to Miss Mary Lou Wallace for her diligence and perseverance in the preparation of this manuscript.

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation for the perceptive criticisms and sage counsel of his major advisor and thesis director, Professor Eldon D. Smith, and to congratulate him on his masterful carrot and stick strategies.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to his wife, Margaret McCoy Dean, whose help with the preparation of the manuscript was invaluable and without whose patience, optimism and understanding, this undertaking would never have reached fruition.

Finally, the author wishes to dedicate this thesis to the "Happy Pappies," as they usually, derisively, refer to themselves, the participants in the Work Experience and Training Program, whose candor and cooperation not only made this study possible, but most enjoyable.