

they are getting skill training. Throughout the period they are there, they can be interviewed and tested and when they get out of that facility the job can be ready. When it is that kind of a community effort, it seems to me, the structure is set up to be much more efficient and effective and you never, at any stage, drop this youngster who should not be dropped.

Mr. HAITHCOCK. That is right; he should not be dropped and that is the mechanics of the JACS administration. His name moves along lines of channel communication and he is not dropped from the time he leaves the Job Corps until he gets home.

Mr. GOODELL. You have emphasized channels of communication, and that is not enough. You can make a very good theoretical case right now on paper that there are channels of communication for these youngsters. When they terminate a Job Corps center there is a communication. It goes to the regional office of OEO—the regional office has the responsibility for following up.

Mr. HAITHCOCK. There is a JACS man in that OEO office.

Mr. GOODELL. I will keep an open mind that JACS may be able to fill this void in the future but we have now had the Job Corps over 2 years and you can contend that this has been done effectively up to this point.

Mr. HAITHCOCK. We have just been in business a few months.

Reverend SCHULZ. I would rather not get hung up on JACS. I happen to be secretary of the board of directors of JACS. We felt that there was a problem with the program but, frankly, why can't you deal with it in the concept of the rest of the program? Why do you have to have a whole new act? We have felt, and I think Rabbi Hirsch will agree, that this aspect that you are talking about should have been written into the legislation but the Members of Congress saw fit not to do it.

Mr. GOODELL. A lot of us were talking about this in 1964 and have been talking about it since. It is only a changed situation in Congress, and I don't mean to be cynical about it, but it is only the changed situation in Congress that now dictates that they listen a little more to some of the criticisms and suggestion of a constructive nature that are being made.

This has been a very serious deficiency of the Job Corps. You ask why we need a whole new program. Our program is to transfer lock, stock, and barrel the administration of the Job Corps to the Office of Education with full authority in the Office of Education for the next 3 years to keep all of these facilities open with 100 percent Federal funding and to begin to work out a transition where they do move toward community training centers with residential facilities for the Job Corps-type youngsters.

It provides a transition period. It provides for the continuation of those Job Corps centers which in the opinion of the vocational educational people are deserving of continuation. It is not a complete new act. It is to begin to move in this direction and some time as President Kennedy put it in 1961, let us begin.

Rabbi HIRSCH. Just to summarize this part of the discussion, I am afraid some people will interpret the passage of your program as being, let us start ending, and that I think is a great danger, and that is where the symbolism comes in.