

Greenberg, seeing that pressure was building up, the next day called a meeting of the Community Action Agency Board of Directors. To that meeting were not only invited the Community Action Board of Directors but the deputy city manager, since the city manager was out of town, and the chief of police and possibly some others. In that meeting some points were resolved. There were about four which were to be transmitted to the city manager.

One was, for example, that the policeman who shot the man be tried for having shot him, et cetera. The contention was, and still was, and was carried out that these four resolutions would be taken to the city manager when he got back. That actually was done.

At this meeting a couple of people got up and said things—I don't know what they actually said—this is an outrageous situation, or something like that. These were people in the meeting. The city manager apparently thought that the mere fact that some people in this meeting got up and let off some steam might say was inflammatory. The people in the Community Action Agency felt and I think most people in Rochester felt, that in fact that it was a very fortunate thing, a forum, if you will, where this kind of statement could be made rather than being suppressed—not suppressed in that sense—but no place to make it and worse things happen.

The actual letter was taken to the city manager, he received it, some things were being done about it, and the city manager subsequently pointed out that his complaint was only with respect to an individual who was not even a poverty worker.

Now if you are talking about people like that who are involved, somebody on the board of directors or somebody on a neighborhood council who is involved, and if you feel that there should be some sort of a statement in the legislation to calm them down, if you will, I would be perfectly happy to see something in there along that line if it could be written without infringing on someone's freedom of speech.

Mrs. GREEN. I have been interested in your detailed record of arrests but I wondered if you were making a detailed study not an off-the-cuff remark made by someone, but did you make a study ahead of time of the involvement of poverty workers in action that would fan the flames that would increase the tension and would invite people to riot? Are you making this kind of study?

Mr. SHRIVER. Yes.

Mrs. GREEN. Are you doing it in Newark and Detroit as well as the study you have made on the actual arrests?

Mr. SHRIVER. Yes.

We are doing it with respect to Newark. I don't think we are doing it with Detroit where anyone has suggested that anyone has done such a thing, but we are doing it in Newark and we do it wherever anybody suggests that somebody did do something, an overt act that contributed.

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. Ayres, I recognize you for 5 minutes.

Mr. GOODELL. Mr. Shriver, just pursuing this point for continuity in the record, I agree with your statement that it is very complicated and difficult to tell all about involvement and inciting a riot. An individual may be working to stem a riot who is involved with a