

Your answer is on page 17 of his statement, where we are funding the small percentages of the needs Detroit, Atlanta—

Mr. DELLENBACK. What I am really saying, and this becomes a dialog that we ought to carry on in executive committee, really, if this instrumentality is one we ought to retain just as is, the question in my mind then is: Are we talking about a 25-percent increase, or 50-percent increases, or more?

Mrs. GREEN. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. DELLENBACK. Yes.

Mrs. GREEN. May I ask how much you requested from the Budget Bureau originally?

(The information follows:)

Office of Economic Opportunity, budget data

[Dollars in millions]

	Fiscal year 1967 appro- priation	Fiscal year 1968 request to BOB	Fiscal year 1968 Presi- dent's budget
Job Corps.....	211	330.0	295
National work training programs.....		829.0	
NYC.....	326	(1)	321
2d supplemental appropriation.....	47		
Work experience.....	100	(1)	70
Kennedy-Javits and Nelsen/Scheuer.....	98	(1)	258
Community Action program:			
Headstart.....	351	349.0	472
Other CAP.....	424.85	767.0	550
2d supplemental appropriation.....	28		
VISTA.....	26	37.0	31
Small business development centers.....	2.6		
Migrants.....	33	100.0	27
Rural loans.....	24	25.0	20
Information and research.....		44.2	
G.D. & A.....	15	18.8	16
Other.....	1.05		
Total.....	1,687.5	2,500.0	2,060

¹ In national work training program estimate.

Mr. SHRIVER. Again, Mrs. Green, I am sorry. You know I am not permitted to discuss the figures we turned into the Bureau of the Budget. On the executive side—

Mrs. GREEN. We do get these figures from the other departments who appear before this committee. They don't volunteer the information, but in response to a specific question, they do tell us how much they requested from the Budget Bureau.

Mr. SHRIVER. I will ask the Budget Bureau if I can give you the figures, and if they tell me I can, I will be happy to. It isn't that I don't want to give them.

Mrs. GREEN. May I ask if it is considerably more than the \$2.06 billion?

Mr. SHRIVER. I am not at liberty to discuss it more with you. I am sorry. [Laughter.]

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. O'Hara.

Mr. O'HARA. I am sorry I wasn't here to hear your statement, but I have had an opportunity to read it, and I wish to commend you.

Your characterization of the role of people working in poverty-related programs is in accordance with my understanding. Certainly