

munity Action boards in order that everybody on the board at least represents some group or groups in the community?

Mr. SHRIVER. Yes, we have, and we have attempted to cover that in the bill before you. That is our bill before you that has been pending here, lo, these 5 months.

In that bill we attempt to spell out exactly the kind of thing you are talking about so that the people on the board do represent specific areas in the community, that they do have to rotate, they just can't get on there perpetually and then perpetuate themselves.

The law that we drafted was our best effort to deal with the problem you brought up. Therefore, Congressman, I think all I can do is commend to you the bill which has been proposed. This is the bill which we have proposed. We think it covers the question which you have raised.

Mr. QUIE. At the present time, some Community Action boards actually select the representatives on the board. Would this no longer be permitted?

Mr. SHRIVER. That is right. That is what the proposal proposes.

Mr. QUIE. Another question I have, which is away from the board, and that is your summer youth program, for which \$75 million was appropriated by the Congress. As I recall there was little criticism of doing that and Congress went along quite willingly. The only criticism I have is, it was a crash program, the plans had to be drawn up in a hurry, and many programs didn't get started right after school as they should.

There are two things I hear. One is that they should have another crash program next year and do the planning early and, second, don't we need something year round as a program rather than just a summer program?

Mr. SHRIVER. I agree with both of them.

Mr. QUIE. Do you have in H.R. 8311 a proposal for the summer funds and for the year-round program where, if that bill were enacted and fully funded, of \$3,060 million that that criticism could not be made by community people?

Mr. SHRIVER. No, it is not in the bill because in the bill we attempted again not to earmark things and it is possible under the bill the communities would not finance those things and then in some communities the conditions you have described as bad and with which I agree would occur.

So to answer your question, we do not have an ironclad way in the bill which we propose to make sure that there are (a) year-round programs of recreation or (b) summer programs of recreation. It is left up to the locality.

Mr. QUIE. Then in that program I have heard some criticism here in the District of Columbia that where the community had some violence occur, they now have some swimming pools and they actually have made some headway whereas in some communities where no violence occurred they do not have much more than they had before.

The question was raised when it was reported in the newspapers that in Newark shortly after the first night of riot or the second or third day \$3,350,000 of funds was released from OEO even though undoubtedly it was in the works for a long time before that.