

Mr. GREENWALD. Yes. There are other elements in the legislative program to be presented this year. One would be the adjustment assistance amendment that you spoke of.

Chairman BOGGS. Are you prepared to spell those amendments out?

Mr. GREENWALD. No, I am afraid that—

Chairman BOGGS. Is anybody?

Mr. McQuade?

Mr. McQUADE. I don't think that we have hammered out in our own minds what would be the right thing to propose.

Chairman BOGGS. When do you plan to propose them? This is July.

Mr. McQUADE. In the very near future.

Mr. McNEILL. Mr. Chairman, if I may just add to that comment, the Trade Expansion Act test for eligibility for adjustment assistance has proven indeed to be a very difficult test, as I think you all know. You will recall that in passing the Canadian Automotive Products Act the Congress liberalized very considerably the test for eligibility. Pursuant to the Canadian Automotive Products legislation, the Tariff Commission and the administration have in most cases, where applications have been made, been able to certify under the looser and more liberal eligibility tests that workers indeed have been adversely impacted and affected by operation of this particular agreement that we have with Canada. The administration is very carefully examining both tests of eligibility; that is, those in the Trade Expansion Act and those in the Canadian Automotive Products Act, with a view to asking the Congress to liberalize the Trade Expansion Act test. We have not at this time made final determination as to whether we would want to move wholly in the direction of the Automotive Products Act, or go beyond this, or go almost up to it. But we will, I think, quite shortly, as Mr. McQuade indicated, be submitting to the Congress proposals for amending the Trade Expansion Act.

Chairman BOGGS. Have you ever had a case under either act?

Mr. McQUADE. We have had quite a number of successful cases under the Automotive Products Act. All cases under the Trade Expansion Act have been turned down by the Tariff Commission.

Chairman BOGGS. What has happened? What has been the action?

Mr. McQUADE. In the case of the employees under the Automotive Products arrangement we have granted quite a number of adjustment assistance programs. And I could get that number if you would like to have it.

Chairman BOGGS. Yes; and we will include it in the record. (See p. 100.)

Mr. McQUADE. But under the Trade Expansion Act the Tariff Commission has never determined that the major test required by the statute has been met, which is that the tariff concessions must be the major cause of increase in imports and that the increased imports must be the major cause of the injury. We have never had a successful determination on that front.

Chairman BOGGS. Is it the general feeling that the test in the Automotive Agreement would be better than the existing arrangement?

Mr. McNEILL. Yes; I think that is true, Mr. Chairman. It was the intention of the Congress in enacting the Trade Expansion Act to provide for adversely affected persons and firms a program of adjustment