

C. The clerk in a real estate office?

D. The newspaper delivery boy?

2. Section 2 appears to provide unlimited authority to order alterations "in the permanent or temporary features" of buildings or structures which are places of employment. Does this result in any conflict of authority between—

A. The regulations of the Department of Public Health as to sanitation and health requirements?

B. The regulations of the Department of Licenses and Inspections as to building requirements as to fire safety and conditions for issuance of occupancy permits?

C. The building and construction regulations, electrical, plumbing, and other similar regulations for the District?

Mr. SISK. We have with us this morning Mr. Robert Kneipp, Assistant Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Kneipp, if you would come forward, I think unless there are reasons not known to the Chairman, we might as well have the Board Members representatives, Mrs. Sarah H. Newman, chairman, along with the Director, Charles Greene, and Clark King, counsel, to be seated at the table.

As I understand it, you folks are the enforcing part of the program, and Clark King also.

Mr. Kneipp, do you have a statement?

STATEMENT OF ROBERT F. KNEIPP, ASSISTANT CORPORATION COUNSEL; ACCOMPANIED BY CLARK F. KING, OFFICE OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL; MRS. SARAH NEWMAN, CHAIRMAN, INDUSTRIAL SAFETY AND MINIMUM WAGE BOARD; AND CHARLES T. GREENE, DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIAL SAFETY, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GOVERNMENT

Mr. KNEIPP. I have the Commissioners' report on the legislation which I would like to offer for the record, Mr. Chairman. It is dated April 25, and is addressed to Mr. McMillan.

Mr. SISK. We will be glad to make the entire statement a part of the record, and you may read or summarize it, whatever you would prefer to do, Mr. Kneipp.

(The report referred to follows:)

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
EXECUTIVE OFFICE,

Washington, D.C., April 25, 1967.

Hon. JOHN L. McMILLAN,
Chairman, Committee on the District of Columbia, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. McMILLAN: The Commissioners of the District of Columbia have for report H.R. 1264, 90th Congress, a bill "To amend title II of the Act of September 19, 1918 (40 Stat. 960), as amended, relating to industrial safety in the District of Columbia."

Title II of the Minimum Wage and Industrial Safety Act of the District of Columbia approved September 19, 1918 (40 Stat. 960), as added by the Act approved October 14, 1941 (55 Stat. 738), has for its general purpose the fostering, promoting, and development of the safety of wage earners of the District of Columbia in relation to their working conditions. The employment to which this title of the Act relates, however, is "industrial employment", and examination both of the language of the Act itself and of the legislative history of the Act, as contained in Senate Report No. 675 of the 77th Congress, indicates that title II is limited to industrial employment or places where industrial employment is carried on.