

urally would have arise in my mind if other companies have found it advisable to go ahead and put the guards on why you seem to have a problem. Frankly, that is the question.

Mr. OLMEN. Well, of course, I did not bring this up in the statement here I made, but reading the statement will indicate that I am not bring the action. The Printing Industry of Washington is also a party to my particular court case. They have joined with me, which is 180 firms. The problem has been that pending a decision in our particular case the Safety Director has made it a point to canvass everybody else and after our first adverse decision informed everybody that they were going to lose anyway and on the threat of a \$300 fine if they did not have them installed. Actually, it is an advantage as far as proving our particular point because either the Washington Post or the Daily News or any of the large places who have installed the guards now are firmly convinced that it is a greater hazard. The machine is at the Washington Post and I talked to them just yesterday so that I know this is a current situation, they said that they have been scratched more and hurt more with the guards in the short period of time which is maybe a month, I am not exactly sure of the date, they have received more scratches on the thing than they did in twenty-five years prior to the guard. Now, this is not, when you mentioned the fact that I, as an individual, was bringing this, the case we have in court is supported by and being paid for by the Printing Industry of Washington. I am testifying here only because I am more familiar with it. I am directly concerned with a particular case, but this case has been joined by all the printers. This does not include the Publishing Association, that is, the three newspapers. We figured they were going to take care of their own cases. But as concerns everybody other than the newspapers, they are combined in this case. It is not an individual action, sir.

Mr. SISK. I might ask you Mr. Drazin, I believe you were cited for lack of guard. You have now put on a guard, you are now complying, do you have any problem with your guard?

Mr. DRAZIN. Well, I do have one of the machines in question that Mr. Olmen has and I wrote a letter to the manufacturers of the machine for a guard. In fact, I enumerate my experience with the inspector in that regard. He walked in and stated—this is a Ludlow machine by the way, a different type-setting machine which has a flat I think about a one and a half inch belt and the machine comes that way from the manufacturer—and he said in a sarcastic manner where is the guard for that machine. And I have owned the machine since 1953 or thereabouts and I have never known there was a guard for the machine. And I have seen many, many, many of these machines around the city and in other cities and have never seen a guard for it. I said, "What guard?" I didn't know what he was talking about. And he said there was a guard there and someone must have taken it off. I said I do not know what you are talking about and he said well let me see your parts book. And I immediately instructed my typesetter to give me the parts book or to give the inspector the parts book and he looked through this parts book thoroughly. He never did find a picture of this guard and he after a while said, well I guess there is none in this book but get one anyway. So I said to him no one has ever been hurt, I have never heard of one for that but I would and