diovascular causes preferentially in the groups receiving oral therapy exists, and, in view of the "nonsignificance" of differences in total mortality, some reservation about the conclusion that the oral hyperglycemics are toxic must remain. Nonetheless, we consider the evidence of harmfulness moderately strong. The risk is clearly seen in the group of older women as shown in Table A.2. Whether it accets all subgroups of patients cannot be decided on the basis of the available data. owing to the small number of deaths involved in these

There remains the question of generalization of these findings. As has been frequently pointed out, the conditions of drug use in this study were, to some extent, abnormal. Tolbutamide dosage is varied in practice, and the patient unable to maintain adequate control with tolbutamide could be shifted to insulin. A good deal rests, then, on the matter of whether tolbutamide is actually toxic. If this should be admitted, it is hard to see how it could be regarded as a reasonable therapy, even when given in variable rather than fixed dosage.

If, however, this finding is rejected, there remains the question of whether tolbutamide, although ineffective in this fixed-dose regimen, might be an effective therapy as ordinarily used. The UGDP gives no direct answer to this question, but the dose of tolbutamide ordinarily employed varies only moderately.

There is also the question of the extent to which the UGDP subjects reasonably represent the population of maturity-onset diabetics who are candidates for oral therapy. Little of the commentary available to us raises questions on this point, and we assume that the UGDP population is representative of a large fraction of the maturity-onset, non-insulin-dependent diabetic population. In conclusion, we consider that in the light of the UGDP findings, it remains

with the proponents of the oral hyperglycemics to conduct scientifically adequate studies to justify the continued use of such agents.

## REFERENCES

(1) A study of the effects of hypoglycemic agents on vascular complications in patients with adult-onset diabetes: I. Design, methods and baseline results, University Group Diabetes Program. *Diabetes* 19 (suppl 2):747-783, 1970.

(2) A study of the effects of hypoglycemic agents on vascular complications in patients with adult-onset diabetes: II. Mortality Results, University Group

Diabetes Program. Diabetes 19 (suppl 2):787-830,1970.

(3) Effects of hypoglycemic agents on vascular complications in patients with adult-onset diabetes: IV. A preliminary report on phenformin results, University Group Diabetes Program. JAMA 217:777-784, 1971.

(4) A study of the effects of hypoglycemic agents on vascular complications in patients with adult-onset diabetes: III. Clinical implications if UGDP results, University Group Diabetes Program. JAMA 218:1400-1410, 1971. (5) Keen H: Factors influencing the progress of atherosclerosis in the diabetic.

Acta Diabetol Lat 8 (suppl 1):444-456, 1971.

(6) Keen H, Jarrett RJ: The effect of carbohydrate tolerance on plasma lipids and atherosclerosis in man, in Jones RJ (ed): Atherosclerosis. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1970, p 435.

(7) Bull JP: The historical development of clinical therapeutic trials. *J Chron Dis* 10:218-248, 1959.

(8) Burdette WJ, Gehan EA: The Planning and Analysis of Clinical Studies, Springfield, Ill, Charles C Thomas, Publisher, 1970. (9) Hill AB: Statistical Methods in Clinical and Preventive Medicine. London,

Oxford University Press, 1962.

(10) Lasagna L: The controlled clinical trial: Theory and practice. J Chron Dis 1:353-367, 1955.

(11) Witts LJ: Medical Surveys and Clinical Trials, ed 2. London, Oxford University Press. 1964.

(12) Zubrod CG: Multiclinic trials in cancer chemotherapy. Can Med Assoc J 97:101-103, 1967.

(13) Beecher HK: Research and the Individual. Boston, Little, Brown & Co,

(14) The Institutional Guide to DHEW policy on protection of human subjects. National Institutes of Health, US Dept of Health, Education, and Welfare.