Substance Advisory Committee has recently recommended scheduling pentazocine in schedule IV.

The purpose of the above comments on alternative mild analysics was not to denigrate the value of these drugs for the patient with pain, but rather to put the adverse effect liability of propoxyphene in

some reasonable perspective.

Now, concerning the adverse effects of schedule II narcotics. The only currently available alternative oral analgesics to the mild analgesics discussed above are the schedule II narcotics. These include such drugs as morphine, hydromorphone, hydrocodone, oxycodone, levorphanol, anileridine, meperidine, and methadone.

While in substantial oral doses, these drugs are all capable of producing significantly greater pain relief than the mild analgesics noted above, they are all quite capable of producing lethal narcotic overdose, and all have a clearly higher dependence and abuse liability than pro-

poxyphene, codeine or pentazocine.

In addition, doses of these schedule II narcotics which produce pain relief greater than the mild analgesics are also associated with a significantly higher incidence of disturbing gastrointestinal and central nervous system adverse effects. Therefore, their use would only seem to be indicated for those patients for whom conventional mild analgesics or combinations prove ineffective or not tolerated.

I will list, not necessarily in order of importance the number of factors which seem to me responsible for the popularity of propoxyphene

products.

First, it has been claimed that the popularity of propoxyphene in the face of its less than impressive performance in controlled clinical trials is primarily due to the extensive and effective promotional efforts

for Darvon by Eli Lilly & Co.

Indeed, the best ballpoint pen that I ever owned was given to me by a Lilly detail man and is emblazoned with the words "Darvocet N-100." However, many drug companies utilize the services of inventive advertising agencies and have dedicated swarms of detail men at their disposal and yet, much to their chagrin, these companies are unable to stir up the sustained high demand for their analgesic product which has been accorded the Darvon family. I think one must look further than promotional efforts alone to explain the success of propoxyphene over the past 20 years, which leads me to reason number two.

Physicians seem to need a mild analgesic which is as effective as aspirin, acetaminophen or APC but which is not available over-the-

 ${f counter.}$ 

Many patients feel that these antipyretic-analgesics cannot be terribly effective because they are available over-the-counter and have a psychological need to receive an analgesic which is only available on prescription. Physicians recognize and respond to this need. Propoxyphene products are available in a variety of impressive colors, shapes and sizes and are only available through prescription. Furthermore, as noted above, over 80 percent of prescriptions for propoxyphene products are for combinations containing aspirin, acetaminophen or APC and these combinations are at the very least as effective as the antipyretic-analgesics which they contain. This must be coupled with the fact that at recommended doses propoxyphene produces an extremely