

Senator NELSON. I have not had a chance to read that. It is difficult enough to read the material that arrives 10 days in advance.

Mr. STETLER. This is a comparison of four brand and generic versions of Chloramphenicol that shows very dramatically the differences that exist therapeutically between these different versions of this drug.

Senator HATFIELD. Mr. Chairman, to follow up my question, is this the document that you are talking about that has been handed me—"Supplement to the statement of Dr. Leslie M. Lueck?"

Mr. STETLER. Correct.

Senator HATFIELD. Will this be presented today in the testimony for the record?

Mr. STETLER. He is prepared to do it.

Senator HATFIELD. I think it is important to have this type of thing in the record, because I feel we have had a lot of discussion—most of it has been opinion. And I have not read this, because this is the first time I have seen this. But if this is scientific evidence, Mr. Chairman, I think it ought to be a part of our record—whether you read it or not.

Mr. STETLER. That is our wish.

Senator HATFIELD. May we make it then—accept it as that? Because I have not had a chance to read it. But I think if it is what they claim it to be, it ought to be part of our record.

Senator NELSON. I have not had a chance to read it, because it only came in on Monday, and not 10 days ago. So I do not know what is in it.

Senator HATFIELD. May I move to accept it as part of the record?

Senator NELSON. Well, I would rather wait until Dr. Lueck testified.

Senator HATFIELD. Are you going to read this in your testimony, Mr. Stetler?

Mr. STETLER. He is. But he is No. 5 at bat here. At the rate I am going, I am not going to be through today.

Senator HATFIELD. Mr. Chairman—let me say, Mr. Stetler, the chairman has—we have pursued these hearings by interruptions, because we have felt it is important to clarify points being made by the witness. But I think it is also the policy of this committee, according to my understanding, that when a witness has not been able to give all of the statements that he has prepared, the committee receives that and incorporates that in the record as if he had given it. Because of time restrictions that we have, it is not always possible to hear all these statements in full. But the chairman has always been very fair in accepting all the written material prepared for this committee, and making it a part of the record as if it had been given. Is that correct?

Senator NELSON. My policy has been that if the witness who appears before the committee has submitted his statement in adequate time for me to look at it, and then there is not enough time for him to cover all of it, we have printed the complete testimony in the record of that day's proceedings. I have reserved the right not to put it in if there are some important questions that ought to be asked of the witness. I do not know enough about this statement because it did not come in 10 days in advance.

Senator HATFIELD. Mr. Chairman, then may I ask if it is not possible—to me this is the guts of what we have been discussing for a long time—to get the witness on the stand today, for the full in-