industry. It just means that the industry is doing things that are not in

the public interest.

I do not think anybody can read what the Kefauver report says about the instructions to the detail men without thinking that the company was doing its best to avoid giving the doctor the information that blood dyscrasias could occur as a consequence of the use of this drug and that it should be used only in very serious cases. We have a document here which we will get to later which tells that Chloromycetin was administered to people who had sore gums, and a lady died from it; to a 5-year-old with a little acne and a sore finger and somebody else with a sore throat, and they both died. They should never have had the drug. It does not make any sense at all. It ought to be the moral responsibility of the industry, the companies who know about this, to protect the public interest. But look at the instructions here, this same letter that I was referring to, and I quote from the Kefauver hearings—these are not my words. This is a report made back in 1961:

Parke, Davis perverted the permission for continued use under these restrictions into a blanket "clearance of the drug." The same letter contains a highly misleading assertion: "Thus, Chloromycetin has successfully passed three intensive investigations originally by Parke, Davis Company, next by officers of the Food and Drug Administration, then by a special committee of authorities in the field of beget large and characteristics and the research by the Notional in the field of hematology and chemotherapy and the research by the National Council."

I think all of these instructions to the detail man were meant to convince him to peddle the story that there are really not any serious side effects here that the doctor ought to be worried about.

I have to answer another vote.

 $(Short\ recess.)$ 

Senator Nelson. I am sorry about the continual interruptions. I did not plan them. I realize that they are unfair to the witness, because it seems that every time I make a statement and ask a question, I leave. It is unintentional.

Go ahead. I have finished what I had to say about that.

Did you want to respond?

Dr. Lueck. Mr. Chairman, I did not remember quite where we were or if I had to respond.

(Whereupon, the reporter read the record.)
Dr. Lueck. I think I have responded to that question a number of times for the record, Mr. Chairman. I think we could proceed.

Senator Nelson. All right.

Just a couple more points on these ads before I conclude.

There are, and I would ask that they be put in the record, a series of ads from 1951 through 1967. Give the witnesses copies of these so they know what I am referring to.

I ask that they be put in the record.

(The advertisements referred to follow:)