

as recorded in the raw data books, except for the discrepancies in the autopsy dates and possibly some graph entries toward the end. She was inclined to think that the weights at autopsy were used in the last week or two for some of the animals autopsied prior to 3/5/59 to carry the chart out to 3/5/59 for all animals.

(i) She said Dr. Van Maanen was the one that told her to falsify the chart (fig. 7.) turned in with the NDA. He had her cut off the final several weeks shown on Figure 8 which showed the dramatic loss of weight of F 49. She had to make this chart over 3 times. He was the one who supplied the weights to be used for M 34 in the final charts. Note that the curve for M 34 on Fig. 7 does not agree with Fig. 8 or the raw data books, or the 4 page chart although the weights are in the same general range. Dr. King went along with these falsifications 100%.

(j) Mrs. Jordan noticed that there was no reference anywhere of monkey M 52. She was under the impression that this monkey had some connection with this experiment, but could not recall exactly. It is possible that the weights of this monkey were the ones supplied her by Dr. Van Maanen to be included in Fig. 7 supplied with the NDA, and perhaps this is the monkey whose autopsy results were used in place of M 34.

(k) Mrs. Jordan said that Dr. Van Maanen had insisted on her showing M 51 on Figure 8 as having been on Mer 29 for the entire experiment rather than for only the last 8 months. Also he insisted on her showing M 35 as a control monkey for the experiment for the entire time. She knew that this monkey had been on 5066 during the first part of the experiment. Note the weight for this monkey goes up rapidly on the charts, after the raw data books have no further record of the 5066 dosing on 3/13/58. There are no records of weights of this animal as a control and no records of any kind in the raw data books after 3/13/58 for this animal.

(l) Mrs. Jordan said that because of the cost it was common practice for this firm to use monkeys for a number of experiments. Thus, monkeys previously used as drug monkeys might wind up as controls in a later experiment. Normally all drug monkeys had been used as controls in previous experiments. 51, 49, 34 and 33 were fairly old monkeys while 35 was fairly young. She did not recall the ages of the others.

(m) Mrs. Jordan stated that she had written on a form at the time of her resignation her reasons for resigning as not wanting to be a party to falsifying records as she was required to do by Dr. King. The firm now claims this form of hers is missing, and she suspects Dr. King took it. She does not recall in how much detail she spelled out the falsifications on this form.

(n) Mrs. Jordan recalled there were some very suspicious looking rats on the Mer 29 studies, but she knows of no record falsification. She did recall that about 1/3 of the Mer 29 rats died. We do not have our copy of the NDA here to check this point as we have sent it to you, but it is our recollection that deaths of rats of this order were not reported. This point should be checked out.

(o) Mrs. Johnson did not recall that any of the dogs on Mer 29 died while she was there, and knew of no falsifications in the record.

(p) The firm has now asked Mrs. Johnson about other irregularities concerning Dr. King. She has been given to understand he has been fired because of improper relations with the female employees, and for dishonest fiscal practices and that Dr. Van Maanen is on extended leave. Mrs. Johnson said on one occasion, Dr. King had her pick up supplies in her personal car. After some delay, Dr. King paid her by personal check at the rate of 4¢ a mile for this company business. She later found out that Dr. King had collected 7¢ per mile from the firm for this. The firm has now asked Mrs. Jordan to put in her claim to the company for this difference which she is entitled to.

(q) Mrs. Jordan reaffirmed that Dr. King had sent a number of slides to the University of Mississippi for reading, confirmation, or interpretation.

(r) Mrs. Jordan is now convinced that the falsification of records not not known by the officers of the firm above Dr. Van Maanen, and that the entire falsification picture was their joint responsibility.

(s) Mrs. Jordan does not know the whereabouts of Dorothy Miner except that she believes she is running a motel and restaurant in Florida. She seemed certain that it was *not Coral Gables*. She believed she may now be married to a man whose first name is Frank, who she was living with. (His last name was not known, but this is apparently Frank Sekins). Her only clue was that