

POPULATION COUNCIL (YEAR ENDED DEC. 31, 1969)

Category	Investigator, institution, project title	Annual funds
1.....	Carlos Gomex Rogers, Clinica Obstetrica Universitaria, Santiago, tubal and uterine physiology and contraceptives.	\$24,000
1.....	Herbert Kava, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, cytogenetic and genetic studies of postcontraceptive reproduction in man.	25,800
1.....	O. Avendano, University of Chile, administration of low dose progestins.	68,000
1.....	George Nelson, Medical College of Georgia, heparin and oral contraceptives.	15,200
1.....	William Spellacy, University of Miami, lipid and carbohydrate studies with megestrol acetate.	27,500
1.....	H. Croxatto, University Catolica de Chile, studies of effects of contraceptive steroids.	58,500
1.....	Ruben Apelo, University of the Philippines, progestin at microdose levels.	13,000
1.....	A. T. Gregoire, University of Michigan, biochemical investigation of cycling steroid-treated and pathological genital tract tissues.	33,000
1 and 2.....	Lester Salans, Dartmouth Medical School, carbohydrate metabolism and steroid contraception.	20,800
Do.....	Robert E Smith, Stanford University, electron microscopic studies on rabbit and human endometrium after microdose progestin.	17,800
2.....	Philip Dziuk, University of Illinois, Urbana, long-term administration of progestin to ewes.	13,800
2.....	Giuseppe Benagiano, University of Rome, biological effects of megestrol acetate.	32,000
5.....	B. K. Adadevoh, University of Lagos, metabolism of progestational agents.	30,600
Total.....	Plans for 1970: Continued support at the same level.	380,000

¹ Approved.² Funds reported are part of the total grant and represent the estimated funds for studies of oral contraceptives.³ Required.

U.S. SENATE,
SELECT COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS,
Washington, D.C., March 10, 1970.

HON. ROBERT FINCH,
Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SECRETARY FINCH: Thank you very much for the report prepared by NIH scientists on research requirements for oral contraceptives. It presents a good view of what needs to be done before we can answer important questions about the safety and efficacy of these agents and their relation to the development of new types of contraceptives.

This research is urgently needed and adequate funding and staff should be available without compromising other important health programs in the Department.

In connection with the outline of future plans as presented by NIH scientists, it would be very helpful if you would let me know the cost of the proposal program and how long it would take to complete it.

Sincerely,

GAYLORD NELSON,
Chairman, Monopoly Subcommittee.

THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE,
Washington, May 6, 1970.

HON. GAYLORD NELSON,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Monopoly, Select Committee on Small Business,
U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR NELSON: The attached table was prepared in response to your letter of March 10 and complements our report of March 5 which outlines a plan for research on the medical effects of oral contraceptives. The table shows the funds allocated for these purposes by the Department in FY 1969 and FY 1970, and the amounts expected to be spent within the President's budget for FY 1971. The budget for subsequent years will depend on the outcome of studies now in progress, shifts in use of fertility control techniques, and the availability of funds and scientific staff. Please be assured that these research activities have my full support and will be given whatever resources we can provide within the limits of the funds appropriated for this Department.