from the experience of the control subjets selected to match the patients ith venous thromboembolic disease, was 0.7.3

## IV. DISCUSSION

ulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis

Retrospective studies of hospital patients are always open to bias, and the esent study is no exception. Both the affected and the control patients were lected from larger groups by procedures that were certainly not random, and formation about the use of oral contraceptives was obtained by inquiry of e patient and so was dependent on the accuracy of her memory. The evince, however, suggests that these sources of bias have not materially ected the results.

Firstly, women were selected for inclusion only for objective reasons, and y subsequent decision to exclude them for subjective reasons-for example, e presence of doubtfully significant predisposing disease—was taken in ignonce of their contraceptive practice. Secondly, the proportion of women with ep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism who used oral contraceptives was eater when the diagnosis was firmly established than when the diagnosis as less certain—and the contrary would be expected if diagnosis and referral

hospital were biased by knowledge of the women's contraceptive practice. hirdly, the proportion of control women who said they were using oral conaceptives (9%) was close to the proportion of married women estimated to e them: (1) from knowledge of available supplies (approximately 11% at es 15 to 44 years in 19664); and (2) from the experience of women of genal practice lists (7% at ages 15 to 49 years in 1965-664). Fourthly, the prortion of women treated for pulmonary embolism who said they were using al contraceptives (54%) is close to that recorded among women aged 20 to years who died of pulmonary embolism in England, Wales, and Northern eland in 1966 (62%, Inman and Vessey, 1968). We conclude, therefore, that

e method of investigation has not materially biased the results and that the sociation between the use of oral contraceptives and the development of deep

in thrombosis or pulmonary embolism is real.

Two considerations suggest that the association reflects cause and effect. rstly, we have been unable to find any evidence of a third factor which uld account for the association by being related to both. Many factors which use the disease or influence the use of contraceptives were excluded by the sign of the study. Two-previous attacks of thromboembolism and obesityere not excluded; both were found more commonly in affected patients, but ither was particularly associated with the use of oral contraceptives. Others ere either unrelated to the disease or the relation was so weak that it could ve had no material effect on the results. Secondly, there is evidence that oesogens can cause thromboembolism in other circumstances (Daniel, Campbell, d Turnbull, 1967; Oliver, 1967; Bailar, 1967; Schrogie and Solomon, 1967).

Further evidence should eventually be obtained from examining trends in e incidence of the condition and its geographical distribution, particularly as ne use of oral contraceptives has become more common than it was in 1966. he evidence, however, will not be easy to interpret, as the use of oral contraptives is only one of many potential causes of thrombosis, and changes in e prevalence of the other factors may mask its effect.

If, as we now conclude, the use of oral contraceptives is a cause of venous promboembolism, three questions arise. How big is the risk? Is it concentrated n a particular section of the population? And is it attributable to any one

mponent?

The results of our study are quantitatively similar to those obtained by the oval College of General Practitioners (1967) and the Committee on Safety of

rugs (Inman and Vessey, 1968).

In the first of these studies the data relate mainly to superficial phlebitis, nd it may be noted that the total morbidity from all types of thromboembolic isease was approximately 2 per 1,000 women per year and that the risk of enous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism in women who were taking oral ontraceptives was increased approximately threefold. Only six women aged 15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This number is less than that expected among nine patients with "cerebral thrombois" because those with coronary thrombosis were, on average, older.

<sup>4</sup> Medical Research Council (1967).