Mr. Thomas C. Filoccia

- 3 -

September 30, 1969

The above constitute the general policies involved in drafting the respective monographs for MF sterile solids. Exceptions may be used on an individual basis for justified reasons. I am enclosing with this letter a tebulation of MF XII sterile solids and MF XIII sterile solids, which may be of interest to you. The MF XIII sterile solids do not include the content uniformity test because this was analy introduced in the case of this desage form in MF XIII. There is only one inconsistency in this MF XII tabulation, in that Chlardianspoxide Hydrochloride for Injection should be entitled Sterile Chhordianspoxide Hydrochloride. As initially marketed, this article included diluents. By the time the monograph was completed, however, the article available contains no added substances but has separate diluents. Consequently, the monograph title should have been nevised accordingly, although the monograph definition and the content of the monograph is all satisfactory. In the case of MF XIII sterile solids, the articles are all consistent with our policies with the apparent exception of the monographs Sterile Chymotrypsin and Mrahwonidase for Injection. However, there are special circumstances pertaining to each of these articles which require them to be exceptions to the general policies. These revolve about the fact that both are enzyme products, with peculiar problems associated with the non-homogeneity of enzymes. You will note that in each case (MF XIII page proof, page 169 and page 347), the Assay directives call for conducting the Assay on individual viels of the article mether than pooled samples. As a consequence, weight variation tests are meningless and the Assay itself smounts to content uniformity.

I trust that the information provided above will be helpful to you in revising your specifications. My principal desire has been to indicate the basis for the EF approach to these monographs and to point out that the approach followed not only provides adequate assurance of suitable standards and specifications, but that the standards and specifications for this type of dosage form are gonsistent with other dosage forms such as tablets and capsules.

In particular, we find the proposed wording under item 86.4.2. to be especially objectionable and would recommend that appropriate changes be incorporated. We would further recommend that comparable changes be incorporated in section 86.4.3 of the proposed amendment to Federal Standard No. 142a be considered.

Sincerely yours,

Edward G. Feldmann, Ph.D. Director

BGFtpal Enclosure