

Dr. CHAPMAN. Not in the definition, no, sir.

On page 4, at line 19, there is inserted the language that the Commissioners are authorized and empowered to alter, amend and otherwise change the educational standards at any time, but in altering, amending or changing said standards the Commissioners shall not be permitted to lower the same below the standards herein set forth.

I am sure you remember at the conclusion of the hearings last time practically every group which testified had various amendments which they cared to make. The Commissioners were among that group.

This was one of the amendments they wanted to see in the bill.

On page 11 of H.R. 1283, at line 12, item 4 was deleted and reworded with the following language: "With the exception of non-prescription sun glasses" and this applies to—

Mr. HORTON. Are you reading the new language now?

Dr. CHAPMAN. Yes, sir, this is at page 11, line 8 of H.R. 12276.

Mr. HORTON. What page is that on?

Dr. CHAPMAN. Page 11, under Section 8 which has to do with the statement "It shall be unlawful for any person to do" these various things:

(4) * * * With the exception of non-prescription sun glasses or non-prescription protective eye wear to advertise or cause to be advertised to the public any optometric or ophthalmic material of any character which includes or contains any price, cost or any reference thereto whether related to any eye examination or to the cost or price of lenses, glasses, mountings, or ophthalmic articles or devices.

Mr. HORTON. Is the effect of that just to eliminate nonprescription sunglasses and nonprescription protective eyewear? Is that the effect of it?

Dr. CHAPMAN. Yes, sir; that is the effect of it.

On page 12 at line 12, striking "optometrists," the new wording would be: "It shall be unlawful for any person other than a person licensed to practice optometry, medicine or osteopathy under the laws of the District of Columbia," and it continues, "to utilize the services of an optometrist on a salary, commission," and so forth. The language remains the same thereafter at that point.

Mr. HORTON. Do you want to define these terms, other than a person licensed to practice optometry, as set forth in this bill? I am trying to determine what these definitions are. Do you want to read what you have in the language there?

Dr. CHAPMAN. The one I just read?

Mr. HORTON. The new language.

Dr. CHAPMAN. "It shall be unlawful for any person other than a person licensed to practice optometry, medicine or osteopathy * * *."

Mr. HORTON. What are medicine and osteopathy?

Dr. CHAPMAN. It is a matter of exemption.

Mr. FUQUA. This was to clarify an objection, I believe.

Dr. CHAPMAN. There was an objection to the original language of the bill which included only "other than an optometrist". This is exemptive language which was asked for.

Mr. HORTON. What about an ophthalmologist?

Dr. CHAPMAN. He would be included in the term "medicine".

Mr. HORTON. What is an osteopath?