(d) for issuing duplicates of licenses and renewals thereof;

(e) for furnishing a license renewal application a second time if no timely notification of change of name or address has been made;

(f) for late filing of renewal application; and

(g) for any other services which may be required for administration of the

licensing program established by these Regulations.

12-2662. Enforcement. Any person violating any provision of these Regulations shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$300, or by imprisonment not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and if the offense is continuing in its character, each week or part of a week during which it continues shall constitute a separate and distinct offense. In the event that such person is the holder of a license provided for by these Regulations, such license may, in addition, be

12-2663. Effective date. These Regulations shall take effect on the ninetieth day

following their promulgation by the Commissioners.

12-2664. Severability provision. If any clause, sentence, paragraph, or Section of these Regulations shall, for any reason, be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such judgment shall not affect, repeal or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph or Section thereof so found unconstitutional or invalid.

## EXHIBIT F

GUILD OF PRESCRIPTION OPTICIANS OF WASHINGTON, D.C. Washington, D.C., March 21, 1967.

Mr. LAWRENCE E. DUVALL. Director, Department of Occupations and Professions, Washington D.C.

DEAR MR. DUVALL: Enclosed is the re-draft of the proposed Regulations pertaining to Ophthalmic Dispensing. We are having copies made and will send you

a few more sets by the end of this week.

The re-draft essentially embodies the changes discussed in our conference with your office on February 21st, except for the part involving contact lenses. This latter is new wording which seeks to insure the public health and at the same time embody the formula worked out between the Ad Hoc Committee of the Section on Ophthalmology of the D.C. Medical Society and the Opticians.

It has been impossible to submit this re-draft to everyone involved, but I

believe it represents the fundamental thinking of the group.

I call your attention to changes made in 12-2662—Enforcement. These changes

may be in conflict with existing statutes or regulations.

Would you please convey to the Corporation Counsel our desire to discuss these Proposed Regulations with his office when they have the matter under consideration.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT W. BURTON, Counsel.

[Enclosure]

## RE-DRAFT

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGULATIONS

TITLE 12

OCCUPATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSES

CHAPTER 26-OPTHALMIC DISPENSING

Proposed by Guild of Prescription Opticians of Washington, D.C., 917-15th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20005, Robert W. Burton, Counsel