

Mr. GUDE. Would it be correct that the members of the union would be employed by the members of the Guild? Is this the case?

Mr. MILLER. It is possible. That is true; it is possible.

Mr. GUDE. In other words, the union represents the actual workers, the technicians?

Mr. MILLER. Yes, the technicians, but it is, again, Mr. Gude, a difference. If I understand and recall correctly the union's testimony the other morning, they have one place in the District of Columbia. The only place is in the American Optical Company which is a wholesale laboratory and distributor. The type of people that would be in the wholesale laboratory doing the mass production, the actual grinding and polishing of the lenses is not the same type of persons, necessarily, who would be the actual dispensing optician.

Mr. GUDE. Thank you. That is all.

Mr. MILLER. Frequently, they graduate from this area and become dispensing opticians.

Mr. SISK. Let me ask you one other question, and then I will be finished.

My question concerns the Hart bill, which deals primarily with the same subject. Other subjects are covered which seek to eliminate the dispensing of eyeglasses by optomologists and the like. As I understand it, in reading the testimony, that bill was supported by the opticians. This was done on the basis that the job of a doctor was, of course, with the patient relationship and that the writing of the prescriptions and the optician's job was to do the technical work. I am curious. Were you in support of the Hart bill?

Mr. MILLER. We did not support the Hart bill.

Mr. SISK. Did you oppose it?

Mr. MILLER. We did not oppose it.

Mr. SISK. You had no position on the Hart bill?

Mr. MILLER. We had no position.

Mr. SISK. Those are all of the questions I have.

Mr. Gude?

Mr. GUDE. I have no further questions.

Mr. SISK. Thank you, Mr. Miller, for your appearance.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you very much.

Mr. SISK. The next witness is Mr. Bernard J. Englander, President, Group Optical Consultants, Inc., of New York City.

Is Mr. Englander present?

(No response.)

Mr. SISK. All right.

Our next witness, Mr. Anthony Mazzocchi, Citizenship-Director, Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers International Union, is not here today and has requested that his statement be made a part of the record.

Without objection, the prepared statement of Mr. Anthony Mazzocchi will be included in the record at this point.

(The prepared statement of Mr. Anthony Mazzocchi is as follows:)

STATEMENT OF ANTHONY MAZZOCCHI, CITIZENSHIP-LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR, OIL, CHEMICAL AND ATOMIC WORKERS INTERNATIONAL UNION

Organized labor is strongly opposed to the proposed legislation now before this subcommittee which would: prevent the employment of optometrists by corporations, lay persons, health and welfare plans and unions; prevent truthful, informational or pure advertising of services or materials; prevent the display of optical materials to the public; and prevent the practice of optometry, including