

quality, reasonably the same type of degree of recognition of those indential sources would have to take place in the entire area. Whether those were enforced in the District by the District of Columbia in the various portions of Virginia and Maryland by the local government agencies provided they carry on this acceptably would be a matter for determination.

For instance, your county, Montgomery County, having the—an agency to carry out enforcement in that area provided they enforce it to the same degree that enforcement was carried on in Virginia of the same regulation. I think it is completely unreasonable for Washington, D.C., the District of Columbia, to have one set of regulations, very stringent regulations, and then have the part of the metropolitan district in Maryland and Virginia to have some other regulations.

Mr. MACKENZIE. I wonder if I might supplement Mr. Griswold's comments on your question.

I think the thrust of our testimony has been toward the desirability of having the regulatory authority or the enforcement of the rules resident in a single agency within the District of Columbia rather than scattered in separate agencies as is the present case.

Now, specifically with regard to the rule-making authority, in most local government jurisdictions with which I am familiar, the rule-making is retained by either the elected body or in the case of the District of Columbia, this would be presumably the new Commissioner and the Council; whereas the ordinary day-to-day business of enforcing the rules which are adopted is carried out through a single agency designated for this purpose.

Mr. GUDE. We are agreed. I think the Council of Governments approach is to get uniform standards over the metropolitan area. What I was trying to say in my proposal is, that we set the standards. I think we showed the intent of Congress here and I think the Council of the District of Columbia would be the policy-making body eventually as far as standards go.

But there have been proposals that a separate agency be set up. Some people have said let's vest this authority to control air pollution in a body and at that time it was independent of the District Commissioners.

In other words, the Commissioners would appoint them but they would draw up the rules and regulations.

Now what I am asking is your preference, would you rather see the Council of the District of Columbia draw up the levels, or a body appointed by the Commissioner of the District, which would not have any relationship with the Council?

Mr. MACKENZIE. Actually we have seen this operating in both of the manners which you have described. And I think that so far as the effectiveness of the air pollution control activity is concerned that this can be accomplished in either way.

From a personal standpoint I believe, however, that the ultimate authority ought to rest in the elected officials and in this case in the absence of elected officials in the District of Columbia I think this would correspond to the proposed Commissioner and Council.

Mr. GUDE. If the Council is drawing up other rules and regulations to govern the District of Columbia that involve building codes, building regulations, and matters of this kind, would it not be better if they