

a year ahead of time in order to serve better the educational institutions involved with them. This would be of help, particularly, for the planning of those institutions.

In connection with the Higher Education Act, I will run quickly through the titles and make one or two observations about the various sections of the act. Title I provides funds for involving universities in community problems and continuing education programs; we are asking for 5-year extension, and we are bringing a new feature, that is, the request for a 10-percent set-aside for pilot projects in connection with this legislation.

We are also asking that the 75-25 matching arrangements be continued. I believe the original legislation provided for a 50-50 matching, and we are asking continuation of the 75-25 arrangements.

In title II, which is concerned with college and university libraries, we are here asking for a 5-year extension and extending part C, which has to do with the support of the programs of the Library of Congress, for only 1 year, pending a major study which is taking place regarding the support of the Library of Congress and its relationship to the various agencies of the Government.

There is only one major change in title II. That is a request for planning and development grants to library schools. We have authority for certain kinds of research activities but not for planning and development grants to library schools and are asking for that authority under title II.

Mrs. GREEN. Would you mind, since there are just two of us, if we interrupt for particular questions?

Mr. HOWE. Fine.

Mrs. GREEN. I notice in some of these, there is a contraction of funds but an expansion of programs. In the one related to the Library of Congress, I think in your testimony you suggested that there is going to be a thorough review and study on the relationship of the Library of Congress to the legislative and executive branches. Yet, if I understand it correctly, you are asking for an expansion in terms of the acquisition of books in other libraries, making them available; is that correct?

Mr. HOWE. Our funding request goes from \$3 million in the current year to \$4 million in fiscal 1968 under this program; I am not sure I caught the rest of it.

Mrs. GREEN. I am referring to the part in regard to the Library of Congress, providing that it should have the money for acquisition of books to place in various centers or to place in one center, I believe it is Chicago.

Mr. HOWE. Yes, we are asking they have the use of these funds for getting duplicate copies to place in various centers around the country to make them more available.

Mrs. GREEN. My question is: Since you refer to the review that is going to occur in 1969 and since there is a shortage of funds this year, isn't that one of the programs that might be deferred until 1969 when the study of the Library of Congress comes up?

Mr. HOWE. Well, this is certainly a possibility. I believe that the Library of Congress itself hopes to have this authorization for the coming year and fully supports it so that we are to some degree in