

session of as much information as I needed. It is clarified in Dr. Mumford's statement.

With respect to the Library jurisdiction, I see no conflict. The Committee on House Administration has a Joint Committee on the Library, of which I am a member. There has been no reference by any member of that committee to what we have done on the education side.

As a matter of fact, I feel very strongly that we have acted wisely in legislating as we have because of the relationship of the Library services and the Library of Congress to the scholarly community and education in general.

Speaking as an individual I would expect to have no difficulty arising out of our activities.

Mr. MUMFORD. May I add to that a brief statement? In 1965, when the original act was being considered in the Senate, Senator Morse requested a statement from Senator Jordan, chairman of the Senate Rules Committee and at that time vice chairman of the Joint Committee on the Library, regarding the propriety of the Library's serving as the center for centralized cataloging. Senator Jordan sent Senator Morse a letter, which I have in front of me, and he agreed with the purpose of the act and with the Library's performing these functions. It read in part:

As Vice Chairman of the Joint Committee on the Library, this seems to me to be a proper extension of the Library's collecting, cataloging, and card-distribution programs and would be of great benefit to the research libraries. I think that the amendment properly belongs in the Higher Education Act of 1965 because of the benefits which would be derived by the college and university libraries in the country and consequently by higher education and the research community. . . . I see no objection to the amendment from the viewpoint of the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration, and for myself I want you to know that I will strongly support the amendment when the matter is brought up in the Senate.

I recently talked to the present chairman of the Joint Committee on the Library who is also chairman of the Committee on House Administration, Mr. Burleson, and he fully endorsed it also.

Mrs. GREEN. Thank you.

Congressman Brademas, do you have a question?

Mr. BRADEMAs. I have one question, Mr. Mumford. Thank you very much for your testimony.

My question is on the amount of money in title II-C for you to use to acquire foreign books. How much money did you have appropriated for this last year?

Mr. MUMFORD. \$3 million during this current fiscal year and \$300,000 appropriated in the previous fiscal year, 1966.

Mr. BRADEMAs. You say in your statement, "despite this very limited funding," to use your words. Can you give us any observation on how much money you feel would be wise?

Mr. MUMFORD. As you know, the major emphasis of the program is on cataloging and only upon acquisitions in order to catalog the books being received in U.S. libraries. The full authorized funding. The full amount authorized for the next fiscal year is \$7,770,000 and we feel all of it is needed to implement the program fully.

We have made an extremely good beginning, I think, on this program, and the research libraries all over the country are telling us they