Mrs. Srygley. Madam Chairman, it would be hard to believe more adequate funding was not necessary in view of the burgeoning enrollment in these institutions. In my State, for instance, how many new institutions do wo have?

I think one of the problems is the matching provision in terms of how this is used. I can speak specifically at Florida State University

we need very badly a building to house our library school.

We in the library school are presently occupying one floor of our university library which is needed for this other purpose we are talking about, serving increasing numbers of students. It is matching provisions that has on us the first floor of the library.

Mrs. Green. Congressman Quie.

Mr. Quie. No questions.

Mrs. Green. Congressman Burton.

Mr. Burton. No questions.
Mrs. Green. Congressman Esch.
Mr. Esch. No questions.
Mrs. Green. Thank you very much for being with us today.

Mrs. Srygley. Thank you. Mr. Gelfand. Thank you.

Miss Krettek. Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Mrs. Green. We appreciate your testimony.

Mrs. Green. Our next witness is Dr. William S. Dix, librarian from Princeton University.

Dr. Dix, on behalf of the committee, welcome and we are anxious to hear your comments.

STATEMENT OF DR. WILLIAM S. DIX, LIBRARIAN, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, AND DONALD F. CAMERON, DIRECTOR, ASSOCIA-TION OF RESEARCH LIBRARIES

Dr. Dix. I have with me this morning the librarian emeritus of Rutgers who has come out of retirement to help us with the library

of research as director, Mr. Donald Cameron.

I think I will use the limited time you have if I concentrate on one part of the proposed legislation. I hope you and members of the committee will understand that we are in complete support of the testimony delivered by our library colleagues from the American Library Association and I might add also I have just read the testimony given by President Gross on behalf of the American Council on Education.

We are very impressed by the comments he had to make. These things all hang together in a definite way and I think there is no

question of the points he made.

One point in particular—I have no instruction from my association on this-but personally I am inclined to think removal of the 3-percent ceiling rate on loans under title III of the Higher Education Facilities Act would be a mistake at this point as proposed in the legislation but I have no special competence on this point.

Let me then move particularly into part C, title II of the Higher Education Act of 1965 and some suggested minor changes. I hope you will permit me to begin by expressing the thanks of the Association of Research Libraries for the courtesy and the understanding with